Four Lectures (and Some Bonus Material) on Quantum Optimal Transport

Melchior Wirth

December 1, 2025

Chapter 1

The Classical Optimal Transport Problem

The theory of optimal transport goes back to the work of Gaspard Monge in the 18th century, who introduced the optimal transport problem, and the work of Leonid Kantorovich in the early 20th century, who initiated the theory of linear programming and formulated a relaxed version of the optimal transport that fits into the framework of linear optimization. The applications of optimal transport theory are manifold, from the transport of troops to the front, one of Monge's original motivations to study the problem, to the optimal allocation of resources in an economy, the topic that earned Kantorvich the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences (he was the only Soviet scientist wo win this prize), and many other more recent fields like computer vision. Here we focus on setting up the basic mathematical framework of optimal transport theory and Wasserstein distances with a view towards our later study of quantum optimal transport.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable subset and let μ , ν be Borel probability measures on Ω . A transport plan from μ to ν is a probability measure π on $\Omega \times \Omega$ with marginals μ and ν , that is, $\pi(A \times X) = \mu(A)$ and $\pi(X \times B) = \nu(B)$ for all Borel sets $A, B \subset \Omega$. Let us denote the set of all transport plans from μ to ν by $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$. This set is always non-empty since the product measure $\mu \otimes \nu$ is a transport plan.

If $c: \Omega \times \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ is a lower continuous function, the optimal transport problem is the optimization problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} c(x, y) \, d\pi(x, y) \to \min, \\ \pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu). \end{cases}$$

This is a convex optimization problem (affine objective function, convex constraint). Existence of minimizers is not hard to show since $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ is compact

(tight and closed in the weak topology of Borel probability measures) and the objective function is lower semicontinuous.

Theorem 1.1. The functional $\pi \mapsto \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} c(x,y) d\pi(x,y)$ attains its minimum on $\Gamma(\mu,\nu)$.

Transport plans have several equivalent descriptions, which will be useful when moving to quantum optimal transport:

- Couplings: If π ∈ Γ(μ, ν), then there exist a random variables X, Y with values in Ω such that X ~ μ, Y ~ ν and (X, Y) ~ π.
 Conversely, if X, Y are random variables with values in Ω such that X ~ μ, Y ~ ν, then the joint law of (X, Y) is a transport plan from μ to ν.
- Markov kernels: If $\pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$, then there exists a Markov kernel $k \colon \Omega \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \to [0, 1]$ such that $\pi(A \times B) = \int_A k(x, B) \, d\mu(x)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$.

Conversely, if $k: \Omega \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$ is a Markov kernel such that $\mu(A) = \int_A k(x,\Omega) d\mu(x)$ and $\nu(B) = \int_\Omega k(x,B) d\mu(x)$ for all Borel sets $A,B \in \Omega$, then the measure π defined by $\pi(A,B) = \int_A k(x,B) d\mu(x)$ is a transport plan from μ to ν .

- Markov maps: If $k \colon \Omega \times \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$ is a Markov kernel such that $\mu(A) = \int_A k(x,\Omega) \, d\mu(x)$ and $\nu(B) = \int_\Omega k(x,B) \, d\mu(x)$ for all Borel sets $A,B \in \Omega$, then the map $\Phi \colon L^\infty(\Omega,\nu) \to L^\infty(\Omega,\mu)$, $\Phi(f)(x) = \int_\Omega f(y)k(x,dy)$ is linear, unital $(\Phi(1)=1)$, positive $(f \geq 0)$ implies $\Phi(f) \geq 0$ and satisfies $\int_\Omega \Phi(f) \, d\mu = \int_\Omega f \, d\nu$ for all $f \in L^\infty(\Omega,\nu)$. A linear unital and positive map is called a *Markov map* or *channel*.
 - Conversely, every Markov map $\Phi: L^{\infty}(\Omega, \nu) \to L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $\int \Phi(\cdot) d\mu = \int \cdot \nu$ is of the form $\Phi(f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(y)k(x, dy)$ with a Markov kernel k that satisfies the marginal constraints from before.
- Hilbert bimodules/correspondences: If $\pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$, then $L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \pi)$ becomes a $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\nu)$ bimodule with the left and right action given by $(f\eta g)(x,y) = f(x)\eta(x,y)g(y)$. The left and right action are *-homomorphisms, i.e., $\langle f\xi g, \eta \rangle_2 = \langle \xi, \overline{f}\eta \overline{g} \rangle_2$ for all $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\pi)$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu), g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$, and they are weak*-continuous, i.e., if (f_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ such that $f_n \to f$ weak* (resp. (g_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^{\infty}(\nu)$ such that $g_n \to g$ weak*), then $\langle \xi, f_n \eta \rangle \to \langle \xi, f\eta \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \xi, \eta g_n \rangle \to \langle \xi, \eta g \rangle$) for all $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\pi)$. A Hilbert space with the structure of an $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\nu)$ bimodule so that the left

and right action are weak*-continuous *-homomorphisms is also called a Hilbert $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\nu)$ bimodule or a correspondence from $L^{\infty}(\nu)$ to $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Furthermore, if we let $\xi_0 = \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}$, then $\pi(A \times B) = \langle \xi_0, \mathbb{1}_A \xi_0 \mathbb{1}_B \rangle$ for all Borel sets $A, B \in \Omega$.

Conversely, if \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \nu)$ bimodule and $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $\langle \xi_0, \mathbb{1}_A \xi_0 \rangle = \mu(A), \ \langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \mathbb{1}_B \rangle = \nu(B)$ for all Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\pi(A \times B) = \langle \xi_0, \mathbb{1}_A \xi_0 \mathbb{1}_B \rangle$ defines a transport plan from μ to ν .

Exercise 1.2. Express the optimal transport problem in terms of couplings, Markov kernels, Markov maps (for bounded costs) and Hilbert bimodules.

In this course, we are primarily interested in the case when c is derived from the Euclidean distance, more precisely, when $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$.

Definition 1.3 (Wasserstein distance). A Borel probability measure μ on Ω is said to have *finite second moments* if $\int_{\Omega} |x|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty$. We denote the set of all Borel probability measures on Ω with finite second moments by $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$.

The 2-Wasserstein distance W_2 is defined by

$$W_2 \colon \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega) \to [0, \infty), \ (\mu, \nu) \mapsto \inf_{\pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Remark 1.4. With the different models of transport plans discussed above, we can equivalently write the 2-Wasserstein distance (for bounded Ω) as

$$W_{2}(\mu,\nu)^{2} \stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=} \inf \mathbb{E}[|X-Y|^{2}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(2)}}{=} \inf \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int |\operatorname{pr}_{j} - \Phi(\operatorname{pr}_{j})|^{2} d\mu(x)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \inf \sum_{j=1}^{d} \|\operatorname{pr}_{j} \cdot \xi_{0} - \xi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{j}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2},$$

where the infimum is taken over

- (1) all random variables X, Y with $X \sim \mu$, $Y \sim \nu$,
- (2) all Markov maps $\Phi \colon L^{\infty}(\Omega, \nu) \to L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \Phi(\cdot) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \cdot d\nu$,
- (3) all pairs (\mathcal{H}, ξ_0) with a Hilbert $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \nu)$ bimodule \mathcal{H} and a vector $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle \xi, f \cdot \xi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu$ and $\langle \xi, \xi \cdot g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g \, d\nu$.

In (2) and (3), pr_i denotes the coordinate function $x \mapsto x_j$ on Ω .

The Wasserstein distance is indeed a metric. The non-trivial part is the triangle inequality, which is typically proven using the notion of gluing of transport plans. This becomes more transparent in terms of Markov maps or Markov kernels: If Φ_{12} is the Markov map associated with $\pi_{12} \in \Gamma(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and Φ_{23} is the Markov map associated with $\pi_{23} \in \Gamma(\mu_2, \mu_3)$, then the gluing of π_{12} and π_{23} is the transport plans associated with $\Phi_{12} \circ \Phi_{23}$.

In terms of (pointed) Hilbert bimodules, the gluing corresponds to the relative tensor product $(\mathcal{H}_{12} \otimes_{L^{\infty}(\mu_2)} \mathcal{H}_{23}, \xi_{12} \otimes_{L^{\infty}(\mu_2)} \xi_{23})$, which we will be useful for the quantum part of this course.

Proposition 1.5. The Wasserstein distance is a metric on $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $W_2(\mu, \nu) = 0$, then there exists $\pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ such that $\int |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y) = 0$. Hence π is supported on the diagonal $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \Omega\}$, which implies $\mu(A) = \pi(A \times \Omega) = \pi(\Omega \times A) = \nu(A)$ for all Borel sets $A \subset \Omega$.

We only show the triangle inequality for bounded Ω here, using Markov maps as models for transport plans. Let μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_3 be Borel probability measures on Ω and let $\Phi_{32} : L^{\infty}(\mu_3) \to L^{\infty}(\mu_2)$, $\Phi_{21} : L^{\infty}(\mu_2) \to L^{\infty}(\mu_1)$ be Markov maps such that $\int \Phi_{32}(\cdot) d\mu_2 = \int \cdot d\mu_3$, $\int \Phi_{21}(\cdot) d\mu_1 = \int \cdot d\mu_2$. Clearly, $\Phi_{31} = \Phi_{21} \circ \Phi_{32}$ is a Markov map and $\int \Phi_{31}(\cdot) d\mu_1 = \int \cdot d\mu_3$. Moreover,

$$|\Phi_{31}(\mathrm{pr}_j) - \mathrm{pr}_j| = |\Phi_{21}(\Phi_{32}(\mathrm{pr}_j) - \mathrm{pr}_j) + (\Phi_{21}(\mathrm{pr}_j) - \mathrm{pr}_j)|$$

implies

$$\left(\int |\Phi_{31}(\mathrm{pr}_{j}) - \mathrm{pr}_{j}|^{2} d\mu_{1}\right)^{1/2} \\
\leq \left(\int |\Phi_{21}(\Phi_{32}(\mathrm{pr}_{j}) - \mathrm{pr}_{j})| d\mu_{1}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int |\Phi_{21}(\mathrm{pr}_{j}) - \mathrm{pr}_{j}|^{2} d\mu_{1}\right)^{1/2} \\
= \left(\int |\Phi_{32}(\mathrm{pr}_{j}) - \mathrm{pr}_{j}| d\mu_{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int |\Phi_{21}(\mathrm{pr}_{j}) - \mathrm{pr}_{j}|^{2} d\mu_{1}\right)^{1/2}. \quad \Box$$

Example 1.6 (Wasserstein distance between Gaussian measures). Note that the transport cost $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^2 d\pi(x,y)$ depends only on the second moments of π . This allows to compute the Wasserstein distance between Gaussian measures. Let us assume that d=1 for simplicity and let μ_1 , μ_2 be Gaussian measures with means m_1 , m_2 and variances σ_1^2 , σ_2^2 . We will show that $W_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2 = (m_2 - m_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2$.

If $\tilde{\pi} \in \Gamma(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, one can always find a Gaussian measure π on \mathbb{R}^2 with the same mean and covariance matrix as $\tilde{\pi}$, which is necessarily a transport plan

from μ_1 to μ_2 . In particular, the mean of π is $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and its covariance matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & c \\ c & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Positive semi-definiteness of the covariance matrix implies $c^2 \leq c^2$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^2 d\pi(x,y) = \sigma_1^2 + m_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + m_2^2 - 2(c + m_1 m_2) \ge (m_2 - m_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2$$

with equality if $c = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$.

In this case, we can explicitly write down an optimal coupling: Let $T: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto m_2 + \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(x - m_1)$. If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, \sigma_1^2)$, then $T(X) \sim \mathcal{N}(m_2, \sigma_2^2)$ and $T(X) - X \sim \mathcal{N}(m_2 - m_1, (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2)$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[|X - T(X)|^2] = (m_2 - m_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^2.$$

There is another, "dynamical" approach to optimal transport distances based on what is known as Benamou–Brenier formula.

Theorem 1.7 (Benamou–Brenier). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, convex and bounded. If μ, ν are Borel probability measures on Ω , then

$$W_2(\mu,\mu)^2 = \inf \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^2 d\mu_t dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all curves of measures $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ and all vector fields $v : [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ that satisfy the continuity equation

$$\dot{\mu}_t + \nabla \cdot (v_t \mu_t) = 0$$

in a weak sense and $\mu_0 = \mu$, $\mu_1 = \nu$.

Remark 1.8. Here is a heuristic formulation of the Benamou–Brenier formula due to Otto: Among the vector fields that satisfy the continuity equation for a given curve (μ_t) , there is a unique gradient vector field $(\nabla \varphi_t)$, and this also minimizes $\int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^2 d\mu_t dt$. Let us write $\varphi_t = \mathcal{K}_{\mu_t}(\dot{\mu}_t)$. With this notation, we have

$$W_2^2(\mu,\nu) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{K}_{\mu_t}(\dot{\mu}_t)|^2 d\mu_t}_{g_{\mu_t}(\dot{\mu}_t,\dot{\mu}_t)} dt : \mu_0 = \mu, \mu_1 = \nu \right\}.$$

The quantity $g_{\mu_t}(\dot{\mu}_t, \dot{\mu}_t)$ is quadratic in $\dot{\mu}_t$, hence we can formally view as a metric tensor on $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$ and W_2 is the distance induced by the metric g. This way, we can treat $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$ like a Riemannian manifold. In particular, there is a form of differential calculus.

Chapter 2

Quantum States and Quantum Channels

Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $\mathbb{B}(H)$ the set of bounded linear operators on H and $S^1(H)$ the set of trace-class operators on H. The (often unbounded) self-adjoint operators on H play the roles of observables in quantum mechanics.

A state on $\mathbb{B}(H)$ is a linear functional $\varphi \colon \mathbb{B}(H) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(1) = \|\varphi\| = 1$. A state is called normal if $\varphi(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(p_j)$ for every sequence (p_j) of pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathbb{B}(H)$. One can show that φ is a normal state if and only if there exists a positive operator $\rho \in S^1(H)$ with trace 1 such that $\varphi = \operatorname{tr}(\cdot \rho)$. Such an operator ρ is called a density operator.

The operational interpretation of quantum states and observables is as follows: If the quantum mechanical system is in state φ , then the probability of measuring a value in the interval [a,b] for the observable T is $\varphi(\mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}(T))$. This is known as Born's rule in quantum mechanics. In this way, every state encodes a whole family of probability measures, one for each observable. In particular, this is an intrinsically probabilistic theory: The state of the system only determines a probability distribution for the measurement outcome of every observable, not a deterministic measurement outcome.

Example 2.1 (Pure and mixed states). If $\xi \in H$ is a unit vector, then $T \mapsto \langle \xi, T\xi \rangle$ is a normal state. States of this form are called *vector states* or *pure states*. This is the setting of classical quantum mechanics, where the time evolution of the vector ξ is determined by the Schrödinger equation. Note that ξ and $\lambda \xi$ for $|\lambda| = 1$ define the same vector state, which is consistent to the notion in quantum mechanics that states are indistinguishable if they only differ by a phase.

A state that is not pure is called a *mixed state*. Such states occur nat-

urally as statistical mixtures of pure states (not to be confused with the superposition of pure states, which is again a pure state), i.e., they can be written as $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k |\xi_k\rangle \langle \xi_k|$ for some non-negative sequence (λ_k) in ℓ^1 which sums to 1 and pairwise orthogonal unit vectors ξ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

An important class of states in quantum mechanics are Gaussian states. As many objects in quantum physics, they come in a Bosonic and a Fermionic version. Here, we only discuss the Bosonic version, which is a bit more popular in quantum optimal transport and related fields, especially in quantum optics and quantum communication (since photons are bosons).

Example 2.2. On $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consider the position and momentum operators $R_{2j}f(x) = x_j f(x)$ and $R_{2j-1}f(x) = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}f(x)$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, which are (unbounded) self-adjoint operators on their natural domains. On a suitable dense domain¹, they satisfy the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[R_{2j}, R_{2k-1}] = i\delta_{j,k}I.$$

Let $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_{2d})^{\top}$. Up to an additive constant, a Hamiltonian that is quadratic in position and momentum operators can be written as $H = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{R} - m)^{\top} \Sigma(\mathbf{R} - m)$ for a symmetric matrix $\Sigma \in M_{2d}(\mathbb{R})$ and a vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Informally, a Gaussian state is a Gibbs state of a quadratic Hamiltonian with Σ positive definite, that is, $\varphi = \operatorname{tr}(\cdot \rho_{\beta})$ with

$$\rho_{\beta} = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{\operatorname{tr}(e^{-\beta H})}.$$

To better handle degenerate cases (like ground states of quadratic Hamiltonians), it is more convenient to define Gaussian states via the characteristic function of Bosonic states. For that purpose, define the generalized Weyl operator or displacement operator as $W(z) = \exp\left(-i\sqrt{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\operatorname{Re} z_{j})R_{2j-1} - (\operatorname{Im} z_{j})R_{2j}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$. As a consequence of the CCR, the Weyl operators obey the so-called Weyl relations

$$W(z)W(w) = e^{-i\operatorname{Im}\langle z,w\rangle}W(z+w)$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

If φ is a normal state on $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, its characteristic function is defined as $\hat{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(W(z))$. The state φ is called Gaussian or quasi-free if there exists a positive definite matrix $\Sigma \in M_{2d}(\mathbb{R})$ and a vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\langle z, \Sigma z\rangle - i\operatorname{Re}\langle z, m\rangle\right).$$

¹The standard choice is the set of all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f(x) = p(x)e^{-x^2/2}$ with a polynomial p. On this set, the position and momentum operators are all essentially self-adjoint.

Here we identify \mathbb{C}^d with \mathbb{R}^{2d} to make sense of the products Σz and $\langle z, m \rangle$ (note that Σ is not necessarily complex linear).

As their name suggests, states describe the physical state of a quantum system. The possible transformations the state of a system can possibly undergo are described by quantum channels. If one assumes that every quantum channel between systems A and B extends linearly to a map Φ from $S^1(H_A)$ to $S^1(H_B)$, then two requirements are clear: The map Φ should preserve positive operators and it should preserve the trace of an operator in order to map density operators to density operators. Such maps are called *positive trace-preserving* (ptp) maps.

However, somewhat surprisingly, these conditions are not enough to ensure a physical evolution. There is a stronger positivity requirement that becomes apparent if one couples the systems to an auxiliary system or environment E. If the transformation of system A to B is described by Φ , then the transformation of the total system AE to BE is described by $\Phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{S^1(H_E)}$, and this map may fail to be positive even if Φ is positive. If $\Phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{S^1(H_E)}$ is positive for every Hilbert space H_E , then Φ is called completely positive. A completely positive trace-preserving (cptp) is called a quantum channel.

So far, we have described the *Schrödinger picture*, in which the state of the system evolves and the observables remain constant. Their is a dual version, called the *Heisenberg picture*, in which the state remains unchanged and the observables evolve. In the Heisenberg picture, quantum channels are described by normal unital completely positive (ucp) maps.

The duality between channels in the Schrödinger and Heisenberg picture is given by the adjoint in the following sense.

Proposition 2.3 (Heisenberg–Schrödinger duality). If $\Phi: S^1(H_A) \to S^1(H_B)$ is cptp map, then its adjoint $\Phi^{\dagger}: \mathbb{B}(H_B) \to \mathbb{B}(H_A)$ is a normal ucp map. Conversely, if $\Psi: \mathbb{B}(H_B) \to \mathbb{B}(H_A)$ is a normal ucp map, then its adjoint Ψ^{\dagger} maps $S^1(H_A)$ to $S^1(H_B)$ and the restriction of Ψ^{\dagger} to $S^1(H_A)$ is cptp.

Example 2.4 (Unitary channels). If $U \in \mathbb{B}(H_B; H_A)$ is unitary, then $\Phi(\rho) = U^*\rho U$ defines a quantum channel from $S^1(H_A)$ to $S^1(H_B)$. Channels of this form are called unitary channels. In particular, if $H_A = H_B$ and h is a (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operator on H_A , then $\Phi_t(\rho) = e^{-iht}\rho e^{iht}$ is a unitary channel. This channel describes the time evolution of a closed quantum system with Hamiltonian h according to the von Neumann equation.

Example 2.5 (Gaussian channels). If our system Hilbert space is $H_S = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we have an environment Hilbert space $H_E = L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, let $U = \exp(\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{2(d+m)}\mathbf{R}^{\top}M\mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{B}(H_E \otimes H_S)$ for a symmetric matrix $M \in M_{2(d+m)}(\mathbb{R})$. The operator U is unitary, and unitaries of this form (complex exponentials

of quadratic Hamiltonians in position and momentum operator) are called Gaussian unitaries. If φ_E is a Gaussian state on $\mathbb{B}(H_E)$ with density operator ρ_E , then

$$\Phi \colon S^1(H_S) \to S^1(H_S), \ \rho \mapsto \operatorname{tr}_E(U(\rho \otimes \rho_E)U^*)$$

is a quantum channel. Quantum channels of this form are called *Gaussian* channels. They map Gaussian states to Gaussian states. Possibly enlarging H_E , one can always achieve that ρ_E is a Gaussian state with mean 0 and covariance I.

Example 2.6 (Thermal noise channel, lossy channel). Let $H_S = H_E = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The beam splitter with transmissivity $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is the unitary

$$U_{\lambda} \colon H_S \otimes H_E \to H_S \otimes H_E, \ U_{\lambda} = \exp\left(\arccos\sqrt{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j^* \otimes a_j - a_j \otimes a_j^*)\right),$$

where $a_j = \frac{1}{2}(R_{2j-1} + iR_{2j})$ is the annihilation operator (its adjoint a_j^* is called creation operator). This unitary acts on Weyl operators as $U_{\lambda}(W(z) \otimes W(w))U_{\lambda}^* = W(\sqrt{\lambda}z + \sqrt{1-\lambda}w) \otimes W(-\sqrt{\lambda}z + \sqrt{1-\lambda}w)$.

If (e_n) is an orthonormal eigenbasis of the number operator $N = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j a_j^*$ and for $c \geq 1$ we are given the thermal Gibbs state

$$\rho_E = \frac{2}{c+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c-1}{c+1} \right)^n |e_n\rangle \langle e_n|$$

with mean photon number $\frac{c-1}{2}$, then the channel

$$\Phi \colon S^1(H_S) \to S^1(H_S), \ \rho \mapsto \operatorname{tr}_E(U_\lambda(\rho \otimes \rho_E)U_\lambda^*)$$

is called a thermal noise channel. In the limit case c = 1, one obtains the lossy channel which describes photon loss with rate $1 - \lambda$.

The general form of a ucp maps between arbitrary C^* -algebras is given by Stinespring's dilation theorem. For the special case of quantum channels considered here, it takes the following very concrete form.

Theorem 2.7 (Kraus). A map $\Phi: S^1(H_A) \to S^1(H_B)$ is a quantum channel if and only if there exists a family $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbb{B}(H_A; H_B)$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i = I_A$ in the strong operator topology and

$$\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i \rho v_i^*$$

for all $\rho \in S^1(H_A)$.

Theorem 2.8 (Paschke GNS construction). If $\Psi: S^1(H_A) \to S^1(H_B)$ is a quantum channel and $\rho_{A/B} \in S^1(H_{A/B})$ are non-singular density matrices such that $\Phi(\rho_A) = \rho_B$, then there exists a Hilbert $\mathbb{B}(H_B)$ - $\mathbb{B}(H_A)$ bimodule \mathcal{H} and a unit vector $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(x\rho_A^{1/2}\Phi^{\dagger}(y)\rho_A^{1/2}) = \langle \xi_0, y\xi_0 x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(H_A)$, $y \in \mathbb{B}(H_B)$.

Conversely, if \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert $\mathbb{B}(H_B)$ - $\mathbb{B}(H_A)$ bimodule and $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $\langle \xi_0, \xi_0 x \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x\rho_A)$, $\langle \xi_0, y\xi_0 \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(y\rho_B)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(H_A)$, $y \in \mathbb{B}(H_B)$, then there exists a unique quantum channel $\Phi \colon S^1(H_A) \to S^1(H_B)$ such that $\langle \xi_0, y\xi_0 x \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x\rho_A^{1/2}\Phi^{\dagger}(y)\rho_A^{1/2})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(H_A)$, $y \in \mathbb{B}(H_B)$.

Proof. Define a sesquilinear form on the algebraic tensor product $\mathbb{B}(H_B) \odot \mathbb{B}(H_A)$ by

$$\langle y_1 \otimes x_1, y_2 \otimes x_2 \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x_1^* \rho_A^{1/2} \Phi^{\dagger}(y_1^* y_2) \rho_A^{1/2} x_2).$$

Complete positivity of Φ^{\dagger} ensures that this sesquilinear form is positive semi-definite. Let \mathcal{H} denote the Hilbert space obtained after separation and completion with respect to this sesquilinear form. It is not hard to check that the actions

$$b(y \otimes x)a = by \otimes xa$$

extend continuously to \mathcal{H} and that \mathcal{H} with these actions is a Hilbert $\mathbb{B}(H_B)$ - $\mathbb{B}(H_A)$ bimodule. Moreover, if we let ξ_0 denote the image of $I_B \otimes I_A$ in \mathcal{H} , then

$$\langle \xi_0, y \xi_0 x \rangle = \langle I_B \otimes I_A, y \otimes x \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(\rho_A^{1/2} \Phi^{\dagger}(y) \rho_A^{1/2} x) = \operatorname{tr}(x \rho_A^{1/2} \Phi^{\dagger}(y) \rho_A^{1/2}).$$

For the converse implication, note that

$$\|\xi_0 x\|^2 = \langle \xi_0, \xi_0 x x^* \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x x^* \rho_A) = \|\rho_A^{1/2} x\|_2^2.$$

Since $\rho_A^{1/2}\mathbb{B}(H_A)$ is dense in $S^2(H_A)$, it follows that there exists a unique bounded linear operator $L(\xi_0): S^2(H_A) \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $L(\xi_0)\rho_A^{1/2}x = \xi_0 x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(H_A)$. If we define $\Psi(y) = L(\xi_0)^* y L(\xi_0)$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(x\rho_A^{1/2}\Psi(y)\rho_A^{1/2}) = \langle L(\xi_0)\rho_A^{1/2}x^*, yL(\xi_0)\rho_A^{1/2}\rangle_2 = \langle \xi_0x^*, y\xi_0\rangle = \langle \xi_0, y\xi_0x\rangle.$$

Clearly, Ψ is a normal unital positive map. If H_E is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{H} \otimes S^2(H_E)$ is a Hilbert $\mathbb{B}(H_B \otimes H_S)$ - $\mathbb{B}(H_A \otimes H_S)$ bimodule, and if we let $\eta_0 = \xi_0 \otimes 1_{H_S}$, then $\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{B}(H_S)} = L(\eta_0)^* \cdot L(\eta_0)$. Thus Ψ is completely positive. By the Schrödinger-Heisenberg duality, there exists a unique quantum channeö $\Phi \colon S^1(H_A) \to S^1(H_B)$ such that $\Psi = \Phi^{\dagger}$. \square