Random functions on the hypercube: functional inequalities, noise sensitivity and sharp thresholds. Part II.

Reading seminar

Fridays, 15:15–17:00, A 3-14 (First meeting: 04.05.2018)

The aim of this seminar is to discuss classical results and recent developments about random functions on the hypercube. They arise naturally in theoretical computer science and combinatorics, and in the last decade their general properties have been instrumental for new striking developments in statistical physics and percolation.

Consider a Boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and a Bernoulli-*p* product measure \mathbb{P}_p on $\{0,1\}^n$. We will particularly discuss various tools used to understand two phenomena observed in some general classes of functions:

- noise sensitivity after resampling every coordinate independently with probability ε , the (random) value of f becomes almost independent from the original one, namely, if ω is the random point on the hypercube sampled from \mathbb{P}_p , and ω_{ε} is its perturbation, then $\mathbb{E}[f(\omega)f(\omega_{\varepsilon})] - \mathbb{E}[f(\omega)]^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (the opposite notion to it is the noise stability),
- sharp threshold for a monotone function f, as p increases from 0 to 1, the probability $\mathbb{P}_p[f(\omega) = 1]$ increases from almost 0 to almost 1 in an interval of length of order $\frac{1}{\log n}$.

A crucial role is played by the influence $I_i^p(f)$ of individual bit *i* on the function—the probability that the value of the function changes after flipping the *i*th bit. A type of discrete Poincaré inequality easily gives $\operatorname{Var}(f) \leq p(1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n I_i^p(f)$. This is too weak to imply any useful conclusions. In the first part of the seminar we will discuss how to obtain non-trivial improvements of this inequality using harmonic analysis on the hypercube, hypercontractivity, and randomized algorithms [3, 12, 14, 17]. (One of the implications of this theory states: when all the influences are small, then their sum is large.) In the second part we will use these results to link properties of influences to the above stated phenomena. If time permits and based on interests of participants, we may discuss applications in computer science and statistical physics, extensions to non-product measures, lower bounds on the variance (reverse Poincaré inequality), etc.

- [1] W. Beckner, Inequalities in Fourier analysis. Ann. of Math. (2) 102(1):159-182, 1975.
- [2] I. Benjamini, G. Kalai, and O. Schramm. Noise sensitivity of Boolean functions and applications to percolation. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., (90):5-43 (2001), 1999.

- [4] S. Chatterjee, A general method for lower bounds on fluctuations of random variables. Preprint, 2017.
- [5] S. Chatterjee, S. Sen, Minimal spanning trees and Stein's method. Ann. Appl. Probab. 27 (2017), no. 3, 1588–1645.
- [6] H. Duminil-Copin, D. Ioffe, I. Velenik, A quantitative Burton-Keane estimate under strong FKG condition. Ann. Probab. 44 (2016), no. 5, 3335–3356.
- [7] H. Duminil-Copin, A. Raoufi, and V. Tassion, Exponential decay of connection probabilities for subcritical Voronoi percolation in \mathbb{R}^d .
- [8] E. Friedgut. Sharp thresholds of graph properties, and the k-sat problem. With an appendix by Jean Bourgain. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, no. 4, 1017–1054, 1999.
- [9] E. Friedgut and G. Kalai, Every monotone graph property has a sharp threshold. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(10):2993-3002, 1996.
- [10] Ch. Garban and J. Steif, Noise sensitivity of Boolean functions and percolation. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2015.
- [11] B. Graham and G. Grimmett. Influence and sharp-threshold theorems for monotonic measures. Ann. Probab., 34(5):1726–1745, 2006.
- [12] J. Kahn, G. Kalai, and N. Linial, The influence of variable on Boolean functions. 29th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, (68-80), 1988.
- [13] E. Lubetzky and J. Steif. Strong noise sensitivity and random graphs. Ann. Probab. 43 (2015), no. 6, 3239-3278.
- [14] R. O'Donnell, M. Saks, O. Schramm, and R. Servedio. Every decision tree has an influential variable. FOCS, 2005.
- [15] L. Russo. An approximate zero-one law. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 61(1):129–139, 1982.
- [16] O. Schramm and J. Steif. Quantitative noise sensitivity and exceptional times for percolation. Ann. Math., 171(2):619–672, 2010.
- [17] M. Talagrand. On Russo's approximate zero-one law. Ann. Probab., 22(3):1576–1587, 1994.

J. Bourgain, J. Kahn, G. Kalai, Y. Katznelson, and N. Linial, The influence of variables in product spaces. Israel J. Math. 77 (1992), no. 1-2, 55-64.