

# A NOTE ON THE CONVEX HULL OF FINITELY MANY PROJECTIONS OF SPECTRAHEDRA

TIM NETZER AND RAINER SINN

ABSTRACT. A spectrahedron is a set defined by a linear matrix inequality. A projection of a spectrahedron is often called a *semidefinitely representable set*. We show that the convex hull of a finite union of such projections is again a projection of a spectrahedron. This improves upon the result of Helton and Nie [3], who prove the same result in the case of bounded sets.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$  be real symmetric  $k \times k$  matrices. The set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A_0 + x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n \succeq 0\},$$

where  $\succeq 0$  means positive semidefiniteness, is called a *spectrahedron*. Spectrahedra are generalizations of polyhedra and occur as feasible sets for semidefinite optimization.

A projection of a spectrahedron to a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is often called a *semidefinitely representable set*. Helton and Nie [3] conjecture that every convex semialgebraic set is such a projection. See for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for more detailed information on spectrahedra and their projections.

We prove that the convex hull of finitely many projections of spectrahedra is again a projection of a spectrahedron. This generalizes Theorem 2.2 from Helton and Nie [3], which is the same result in the case that all sets are bounded or that the convex hull is closed.

## 2. RESULT

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a projection of a spectrahedron, then so is  $\text{cc}(S)$ , the conic hull of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a projection of a spectrahedron we can write

$$S = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists z \in \mathbb{R}^m : A + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i B_i + \sum_{j=1}^m z_j C_j \succeq 0 \right\},$$

with suitable real symmetric  $k \times k$ -matrices  $A, B_i, C_j$ . Then with

$$C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \lambda, r \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^m : \lambda A + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i B_i + \sum_{j=1}^m z_j C_j \succeq 0 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & x_i \\ x_i & r \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0\}$$

we have  $C = \text{cc}(S)$  (note that  $C$  is a projection of a spectrahedron, since the conjunction can be eliminated, using block matrices).

---

*Date:* August 19, 2009.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C22, 14P10, 15A48 .

To see " $\subseteq$ " let some  $x$  fulfill all the conditions from  $C$ , first with some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $a := \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot x$  belongs to  $S$ , using the first condition only. Since  $x = \lambda \cdot a$ ,  $x \in \text{cc}(S)$ . If  $x$  fulfills the conditions with  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ , by the last  $n$  conditions in the definition of  $C$ . So clearly also  $x \in \text{cc}(S)$ .

For " $\supseteq$ " take  $x \in \text{cc}(S)$ . If  $x \neq 0$  then there is some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $a \in S$  with  $x = \lambda a$ . Now there is some  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $A + \sum_i a_i B_i + \sum_j z_j C_j \succeq 0$ . Multiplying this equation with  $\lambda$  shows that  $x$  fulfills the first condition in the definition of  $C$ . But since  $\lambda > 0$ , the other conditions can clearly also be satisfied with some big enough  $r$ . So  $x$  belongs to  $C$ . Finally,  $x = 0$  belongs to  $C$ , too.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** The additional  $n$  conditions in the definition of  $C$  avoid problems that could occur in the case  $\lambda = 0$ . This is the main difference to the approach of Helton and Nie in [3].

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $S_1, \dots, S_t \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are projections of spectrahedra, then also the convex hull  $\text{conv}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_t)$  is a projection of a spectrahedron.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\tilde{S}_i := S_i \times \{1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , and let  $K_i$  denote the conic hull of  $\tilde{S}_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . All  $\tilde{S}_i$  and therefore all  $K_i$  are projections of spectrahedra, and thus the Minkowski sum  $K := K_1 + \dots + K_t$  is also such a projection. Now one easily checks

$$\text{conv}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x, 1) \in K\},$$

which proves the result.  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $S_1 := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, xy \geq 1\}$  and  $S_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Both subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are spectrahedra, so the convex hull of their union,

$$\text{conv}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0, y > 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\},$$

is a projection of a spectrahedron.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan. *Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory*, vol. 15 of *SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994.
- [2] J. W. Helton and J. Nie. Semidefinite representation of convex sets. To appear in *Math. Program.*
- [3] ———. Sufficient and necessary conditions for semidefinite representability of convex sets. Preprint.
- [4] J. W. Helton and V. Vinnikov. Linear matrix inequality representation of sets. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **60** (5), 654–674, 2007.
- [5] J. B. Lasserre. Convex sets with semidefinite representation. To appear in *Math. Program.*
- [6] T. Netzer. On semidefinite representations of sets. Preprint.
- [7] T. Netzer, D. Plaumann, and M. Schweighofer. Exposed faces of semidefinite representable sets. Preprint.
- [8] M. Ramana and A. J. Goldman. Some geometric results in semidefinite programming. *J. Global Optim.*, **7** (1), 33–50, 1995.
- [9] J. Renegar. Hyperbolic programs, and their derivative relaxations. *Found. Comput. Math.*, **6** (1), 59–79, 2006.
- [10] L. Vandenberghe and S. Boyd. Semidefinite programming. *SIAM Rev.*, **38** (1), 49–95, 1996.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ, 78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY  
E-mail address: tim.netzer@uni-konstanz.de

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ, 78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY  
E-mail address: rainer.sinn@uni-konstanz.de