

# Weak stability for orbits of $C_0$ -semigroups on Banach spaces

Tanja Eisner and Bálint Farkas

*Dedicated to the memory of Günter Lumer*

**Abstract.** A result of Huang and van Neerven [11] establishes weak individual stability for orbits of  $C_0$ -semigroups under boundedness assumptions on the local resolvent of the generator. We present an elementary proof for this using only the inverse Fourier-transform representation of the orbits of the semigroup in terms of the local resolvent.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** 46D03.

**Keywords.**  $C_0$ -semigroups, weak individual stability, local resolvents.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is originally motivated by the structure theory of relatively weakly compact semigroups on Banach spaces as presented, for example, in Engel, Nagel [6, Ch. V]. Suppose that a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , with generator  $(A, D(A))$ , is *relatively weakly compact*, that is each of the orbits  $\{T(t)x : t \geq 0\}$  is a relatively weakly compact subset of the Banach space  $X$ . Then the Jacobs–Glicksberg–de Leeuw decomposition yields the existence of a projection  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  commuting with the semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\ker Q &= \{x \in X : 0 \in \overline{\{T(t)x : t \geq 0\}}^\sigma\}, \\ \operatorname{rg} Q &= \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\{x \in D(A) : \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } Ax = i\alpha x\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a bounded semigroup on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ , then the semigroup is of course relatively weakly compact, and we always have the existence of such a projection. If now the generator does not have point spectrum on the imaginary axis, then we have  $\ker Q = X$ . So 0 belongs to the weak closure of *each* orbit. There are however examples showing that generally we can not expect

weak stability, i.e., that all orbits converge to 0 in the weak topology (see [6, Example V.2.11 ii])). In fact, the “no eigenvalues on the imaginary axis” assumption is roughly speaking equivalent to *almost weak stability* (i.e., convergence to zero along a large set of time values) but, in general, not to weak stability, see [7], [8], and also [9].

Concerning stability questions for bounded semigroups the size of the spectrum on the imaginary line and the growth of the resolvent  $R(\lambda, A)$  in a neighbourhood of it play an important role. The celebrated theorem of Arendt, Batty [1] and Lyubich, Vũ [14] gives a sufficient condition on the boundary spectrum for *strong stability*. They show that, in case of reflexive  $X$ , countable spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  on the imaginary axis and no eigenvalues on  $i\mathbb{R}$  imply strong stability of bounded  $C_0$ -semigroups. Later Batty [2] gave similar results for *weak individual stability* of the orbit  $T(t)x_0$  under the above spectral assumptions and the boundedness of the orbit (see also Batty, Vũ [5]).

In connection with individual stability or growth of orbits, the boundedness of the *local resolvent* has gained wide recognition. In the sequel, we will say, with a slight abuse of terminology, that a bounded local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  exists on  $\mathbb{C}_+$  if the function  $\rho(A) \ni \lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x_0$  admits a bounded, holomorphic extension  $R(\lambda)x_0$  to the whole right halfplane  $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\mu : \Re\mu > 0\}$ .

Huang and van Neerven [11] proved that if the Banach space  $X$  has Fourier type  $p \in (1, 2]$ , then the existence of a bounded local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  on  $\mathbb{C}_+$  already implies the strong convergence  $T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x_0 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , for all  $\mu > \omega_0(A)$  and  $\alpha > 1$  (see also [10]).

Interestingly enough, *weak convergence* of the orbit may be also concluded from the existence of bounded local resolvent. In [4] a functional calculus method was developed for investigating asymptotic behaviour of  $C_0$ -semigroups with bounded local resolvents. A corollary of this approach is an alternative proof of the next theorem (see [11] Theorem 0.3).

**Theorem (Huang, van Neerven [11], Theorem 0.3).** *Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ , and suppose that the local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  exists on the open right halfplane and that it is bounded, i.e., there exists some  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\|R(\lambda)x_0\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

*Then it holds*

$$T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{weakly as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } \alpha > 1 \text{ and } \mu > \omega_0(A).$$

In [17] van Neerven obtains even the exponent  $\alpha = 1$  under an additional positivity assumption.

**Theorem (van Neerven [17]).** *Suppose that  $X$  is an ordered Banach space with weakly closed normal cone  $C$ . If for some  $x_0 \in X$*

- i)  $T(t)x_0 \in C$  for all sufficiently large  $t$ , and*
- ii)  $R(\cdot, A)x_0$  has a bounded holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}_+$ ,*

then for all  $\mu \in \rho(A)$  and  $y \in X'$

$$\langle T(t)R(\mu, A)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It is also known that the above *eventual positivity* assumption *cannot* be omitted (see Batty [3], van Neerven [17]).

Reformulating van Neerven's assertion we can write

$$\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } y \in D(A'). \quad (1)$$

This is an individual stability result for the orbit of  $x_0$  under the semigroup. Our aim is to give an *elementary* proof of such convergence in the presence of bounded local resolvent without assumption on the Banach space, but only for  $y \in D(A'^2)$ . This is the above mentioned result in the case  $\alpha = 2$ . That we assume  $\alpha = 2$  instead of  $\alpha > 1$  is only technical to keep the arguments the simplest possible.

At the end, we formulate the analogous individual stability result for bi-continuous semigroups (see [13] for general theory).

## 2. The Result

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ , and suppose that the local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  exists on the open right halfplane and that it is bounded, i.e., there exists some  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\|R(\lambda)x_0\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Then the convergence

$$\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } y \in D(A'^2)$$

holds.

**Remark.** To make distinction between the resolvent operator and the local resolvent, for the latter we will use the notation  $R(\mu)x_0$ , while the use of the symbol  $R(\lambda, A)$  tacitly assumes that  $\lambda$  belongs to the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$ , hence  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$  is a bounded linear operator.

To prove the theorem we need the following series of lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *For all  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_+$*

$$R(\lambda, A)x_0 - R(\mu)x_0 = (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda, A)R(\mu)x_0 \quad (2)$$

holds.

*Proof.* For a fixed  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  both functions on the two sides of (2) are analytic on  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . For large  $\Re \mu$  the resolvent identity holds, so the assertion follows by uniqueness of analytic functions.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For all  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_+$  we have*

$$\|R(\lambda, A)R(\mu)x_0\| \leq \frac{M + \|R(\lambda, A)x_0\|}{|\lambda - \mu|}.$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For  $y \in D(A'^2)$  and  $a > \omega_0(T)$  there exists a constant  $c := c(y, a)$  such that

$$\|R^2(a + is, A')y\| \leq \frac{c}{a^2 + s^2} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $\lambda = a + is$ . Then we have

$$R(\lambda, A')y = \frac{1}{\lambda} (R(\lambda, A')A'y + y).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, A')^2y &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (R(\lambda, A')R(\lambda, A')A'y + R(\lambda, A')y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (R(\lambda, A')A'R(\lambda, A')A'y + R(\lambda, A')A'y + R(\lambda, A')A'y + y). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows by noticing that the terms in parenthesis are bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** For  $y \in D(A'^2)$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $a > \omega_0(T)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(t)x, y \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(a+is)t} \langle R(a + is, A)x, y \rangle ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(a+is)t} \langle R^2(a + is, A)x, y \rangle ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* The integral in (3) is just

$$\frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(a+is)t} \langle x, R^2(a + is, A')y \rangle ds,$$

and it is absolutely convergent by Lemma 3. Integration by parts yields equality of the two integrals. In particular, since the first integral converges, we obtain immediately that it coincides with  $\langle T(t)x, y \rangle$  as the inverse Laplace transform of the resolvent (see [12, Lemma 2.4]).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** For  $y \in D(A'^2)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $a > \omega_0(T)$  and  $0 < \delta < a$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(a+is)t} \langle R(a + is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(\delta+is)t} \langle R(\delta + is)x_0, y \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be positive, then using the analyticity of  $R(\lambda)x_0$  on  $\mathbb{C}_+$  and Cauchy's theorem, we obtain for some  $\mu \in \rho(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-N}^{+N} e^{(a+is)t} \langle R(a+is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-N}^{+N} e^{(\delta+is)t} \langle R(\delta+is)x_0, y \rangle ds \right| \\ & \leq (a-\delta) \max_{b \in [\delta, a]} |e^{(b+iN)t} \langle R(b+iN)x_0, y \rangle| + (a-\delta) \max_{b \in [\delta, a]} |e^{(b-iN)t} \langle R(b-iN)x_0, y \rangle| \\ & = (a-\delta) \left( \max_{b \in [\delta, a]} |e^b \langle R(\mu, A)R(b+iN)x_0, (\mu-A')y \rangle| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \max_{b \in [\delta, a]} |e^b \langle R(\mu, A)R(b-iN)x_0, (\mu-A')y \rangle| \right), \end{aligned}$$

but this converges to 0 by Lemma 2 as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* According to (2) Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} R(\delta+is)x_0 &= R(a+is, A)x_0 + (a-\delta)R(a+is, A)R(\delta+is)x_0 \\ &= R(a+is, A)x_0 + (a-\delta)R^2(a+is, A)x_0 \\ & \quad + (a-\delta)^2R^2(a+is, A)R(\delta+is)x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5 we obtain for  $y \in D(A'^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi e^{-\delta t} \langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R(\delta+is)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R(a+is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ & \quad + (a-\delta) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R^2(a+is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ & \quad + (a-\delta)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R^2(a+is, A)R(\delta+is)x_0, y \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $f_\delta(s) := \langle R^2(a+is, A)R(\delta+is)x_0, y \rangle$  form a relatively compact subset of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\delta(s)| &= |\langle R^2(a+is, A)R(\delta+is)x_0, y \rangle| = |\langle R(\delta+is)x_0, R^2(a+is, A')y \rangle| \\ &\leq M \|R^2(a+is, A')y\|, \end{aligned}$$

and the function on the right hand side belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . This shows the family  $f_\delta$  to be uniformly integrable (and bounded), thus relatively compact. So by compactness we find a sequence  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $f_{\delta_n} \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus

substituting  $\delta_n$  in the above equality and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R(a + is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ &\quad + a \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle R^2(a + is, A)x_0, y \rangle ds \\ &\quad + a^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} f(s) ds =: I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to deal with the last term  $I_3$ . Since  $f$  belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  so by the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma its Fourier transform vanishes at  $+\infty$ , i.e.,  $I_3(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $y \in D(A'^2)$ , we can rewrite  $I_1$  by Lemma 4 as

$$I_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle x_0, R(a + is, A')y \rangle ds = \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle x_0, R^2(a + is, A')y \rangle ds.$$

The last integral is absolutely convergent by Lemma 3, hence

$$|I_1(t)| \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|x_0\| \cdot \|R^2(a + is, A')y\| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

As for  $I_2$  we first notice that  $\langle x_0, R^2(a + i\cdot, A')y \rangle \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , so by the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma we have

$$I_2(t) = a \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ist} \langle x_0, R^2(a + is, A')y \rangle ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Let us draw the following consequences of the above result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$ , and suppose that  $\{T(t)x_0 : t \geq 0\}$  is bounded and that the local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  exists and is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . Then*

$$\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } y \in \overline{D(A')}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(A', D(A'))$  is a Hille–Yosida operator, its part  $A'_0$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $\overline{D(A')}$ . But  $D(A'_0{}^2) \subseteq D(A'^2) \subseteq D(A'_0)$ , so  $D(A'^2)$  is dense in  $\overline{D(A')}$ . Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $y \in \overline{D(A')}$  take  $y' \in D(A'^2)$  with  $\|y - y'\| \leq \varepsilon/2M$ , where  $\|T(t)x_0\| < M$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . For large  $t$  we have  $|\langle T(t)x_0, y' \rangle| \leq \varepsilon/2$  by Theorem 1. So

$$|\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle| \leq |\langle T(t)x_0, y' \rangle| + |\langle T(t)x_0, y - y' \rangle| \leq \varepsilon/2 + M \cdot \|y - y'\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for large  $t$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$ , and suppose  $\sigma_p(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ . If  $(is - A)^{-1}x_0$  exists and is bounded in  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ , then*

$$\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } y \in \overline{D(A')}.$$

*Proof.* A version of the resolvent identity states that

$$(is - A)^{-1}x_0 - R(a + is, A)x_0 = (\lambda - is)R(a + is, A)(is - A)^{-1}x_0.$$

Here the right-hand side is bounded for  $a > 0$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  by the Hille–Yosida theorem and by the assumption, so  $R(a + is, A)x_0$  is bounded. The proof is concluded by applying Corollary 1.  $\square$

The above proof of Theorem 1 remains valid if the semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is only strongly continuous for some coarser locally convex topology  $\tau$ . More precisely, one has to assume that the semigroup is  $\tau$ -*bi-continuous*, see [13] for the theory. Then the infinitesimal generator  $(A, D(A))$  is a Hille–Yosida operator, but  $D(A)$  is not necessarily dense with respect to the norm in  $X$ . It is dense however for the topology  $\tau$ , so in the following the adjoint  $A'$  of  $A$  is understood with respect to  $\tau$ . In addition, the resolvent identity, and replacing the vector-valued integrals by  $\tau$ -strong integrals, all the above integral formulas remain valid, which were the essential ingredients of the proof. This proves the following.

**Theorem 2.** *For a bi-continuous semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $x_0 \in X$  suppose that the local resolvent  $R(\lambda)x_0$  exists on the open right halfplane and that it is bounded. Then for all  $y \in D(A'^2)$*

$$\langle T(t)x_0, y \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

holds for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## Acknowledgement

We are indebted to Rainer Nagel for inspiring discussions. We also wish to thank Ralph Chill for his valuable comments.

## References

- [1] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, *Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1988), 837–852.
- [2] C. J. K. Batty, *Tauberian theorems for the Laplace-Stieltjes transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), 783–804.
- [3] C. J. K. Batty, *Bounded Laplace transforms, primitives and semigroup orbits*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), 72–81.
- [4] C. J. K. Batty, R. Chill, J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *Asymptotic behaviour of  $C_0$ -semigroups with bounded local resolvents*, Math. Nachr. **219** (2000), 65–83.
- [5] C. J. K. Batty, Vũ Q. Phóng, *Stability of individual elements under one-parameter semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), 805–818.
- [6] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel, *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

- [7] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, R. Nagel, A. Serény, *Almost weak stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups on Banach spaces*, Tübinger Berichte zur Funktionalanalysis **14** (2004/2005), pp. 66–76. Submitted.
- [8] T. Eisner, A. Serény, *Category theorems for weakly and almost weakly stable semigroups*, preprint, 2006.
- [9] F. Hiai, *Weakly mixing properties of semigroups of linear operators*, Kodai Math. J. **1** (1978), no. 3, 376–393.
- [10] S.-Z. Huang, *A local version of Gearhart's theorem*, Semigroup Forum **58** (1999), no. 3, 323–335.
- [11] S.-Z. Huang, J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *B-convexity, the analytic Radon-Nikodym property, and individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **231** (1999), 1–20.
- [12] M. A. Kaashoek, S. M. Verduyn Lunel, *An integrability condition on the resolvent for hyperbolicity of the semigroup*, J. Diff. Eq. **112** (1994), 374–406.
- [13] F. Kühnemund, *A Hille–Yosida theorem for bi-continuous semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **67** (2003), 205–225.
- [14] Y. I. Lyubich, Vũ Q. Phóng, *Asymptotic stability of linear differential equations in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **88** (1988), 37–42.
- [15] J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *Individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups with uniformly bounded local resolvent*, Semigroup Forum **53** (1996), no. 2, 155–161.
- [16] J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *The asymptotic behaviour of semigroups of linear operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 88, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [17] J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *On individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 8, 2325–2333.

Tanja Eisner  
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany  
e-mail: [talo@fa.uni-tuebingen.de](mailto:talo@fa.uni-tuebingen.de)

Bálint Farkas  
Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt  
Schloßgartenstraße 7, D-64289, Darmstadt, Germany  
e-mail: [farkas@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de](mailto:farkas@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de)