The fluid sloshing in a vertical circular cylindrical tank with a rigid-ring baffle I: Linear fundamental solutions
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Abstract

The paper centres around fundamental solutions of a linear evolution problem which describes fluid sloshing in a vertical circular cylindrical tank with a thin rigid-ring horizontal baffle fitted to the inner walls. Under certain postulations accepted for these hydrodynamic systems, the paper adopts inviscid fluid model with irrotational flows and, thereupon, places emphasis on quantifying natural frequencies and modes versus both position and width of the baffle. The analysis is based on an analytically-oriented variational method which gives accurate approximate solutions capturing asymptotic behaviour of the velocity potential at the sharp baffle edge. Forthcoming Part II will use the analytical approximate solutions in a nonlinear-modal modelling and in computing the damping due to local vorticity stress.
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Introduction

A fluid occupying partly either earth-fixed or moving tanks of rockets, nuclear reactors, tower- and bridge constructions, ships and liquefied natural gas carriers performs wave motions, the sloshing, that are caused by time-dependent and instantaneous perturbations of its hydrostatic equilibrium. Since the fluid sloshing disturbed by guidance and control systems commands, ship manoeuvres and structural vibrations of mobile vessels generates significant hydrodynamic force- and moment loads on the moving tank, it becomes a danger for structural integrity and can produce a dramatic feedback sensed and responded by to the tank motions forming a closed loop that leads to an instability, tank bulkheads and even damage. In view of minimising the crucial loads, preventing structural failure and governing the fluid position within the tank, extensive experimental and theoretical studies have been undertaken from several decades ago and, as a result, numerous devices have
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been designed for suppressing the fluid mobility. These devices use fact that the structural instability hazard is explainable by the closeness of a control structural frequency to a fundamental sloshing frequency. This closeness yields, over and above the gust inputs, coupled resonant vibrations involving large sloshing mass and, as a consequence, leading to non-controllability and even destruction of the whole object. In order to diminish the fluid effects to structural stability, the lowest fundamental sloshing frequencies should be shifted away from the control frequency domain. Systematic analysis of the passive devices which influence the natural sloshing frequencies has at different time and for different applications been given by Abramson [1], Bauer [5], Mikishev & Rabinovich [38], Mikishev & Churilov [37], Mikishev [36] and Ibrahim et al. [28]. Design criteria by Abramson [1] give a series of suitable engineering solutions consisting for instance of subdividing the container by longitudinal (vertical) walls (Bauer [3, 4]). However, the compartment is characterised by increasing structural mass and the baffling is in many cases the cheaper method without the weight penalty.

While engineering of mobile vehicles with fluids requires the splitting of structural and sloshing frequency domains, the so-called tuned sloshing dampers of large buildings, towers and bridges suggests their overlapping. This makes it possible to redistribute the total kinetic energy of the whole object in behalf of the fluid mass and, since the fluid motions have in many cases larger damping rates than the rigid/elastic structures, to increase the resulting dissipation with consequent mitigation of structural vibrations. In that case, the theoretical analysis becomes more complicated, because it should be based on fully nonlinear formulation. This implies requirements in robust and accurate computer programs (see reviews by Solaas [48], Moan & Berge [41] and Cariou & Casella [9] and some successful simulations of the baffled fluid sloshing by Arai et al. [2], Campolo et al. [8], Celebi & Akyildiz [10] and Cho & Lee [13, 14]).

Physical nature of the tuner sloshing dampers is found quite different for distinct tanks' shapes and fluid fillings. As explained by Ockendon et al. [44], Faltings & Timokha [21] and Yalla [53], the tuned sloshing dampers with small fluid depths employ features of shallow fluid flows. The fundamental sloshing spectrum of the shallow fluid layer is nearly-commensurate and, therefore, nonlinearity leads in this case to progressive resonant activation of higher modes which are responsible for short, steep surface waves. Since these short wave phenomena are accompanied by local breaking and dramatically effected by viscosity and surface tension, the kinetic sloshing energy dissipates very rapidly and desirable structural damping can be achieved even without slosh-suppressing devices. In contrast, the sloshing in smooth tanks with a finite fluid depth resembles to the long free-standing waves which, if baffles are not introduced, have small damping rates (see, reviews on its quantification by Yalla [53], Faltings & Timokha [20], Faltings et al. [19]). An explanation of the physical nature of the tuned sloshing dampers is based on changing the steady-state nonlinear resonant response (see two-dimensional numerical results by Ikeda & Nakagawa [29] and Cho & Lee [14]) and the damping due to vorticity forces at the sharp baffle edges. The latter has been in primary focus of many investigations including Keulegan & Carpenter [31], Miles [39], Silveira et al. [47], Mikishev & Rabinovich [38], Mikishev [36], Sarpkaya & O'Keefe [46]), and, recently, Isaacson & Premasiri [30] and Buzhinskii [7]. They showed that, if the surface wave magnitude is relatively small, the vorticity-based logarithmic decrements can be quantified in the framework of linear hydrodynamic theory based on inviscid potential model. The analysis introduces the so-called velocity
intensity factor, the coefficient $K_*$ appearing at the main singular term of the linear velocity potential along the sharp baffle edge. By mentioning that an analogous problem arises in linear fracture mechanics (when calculating the stress intensity factors on the sharp edges of cracks in a solid) Buzhinskii [7] discusses difficulties to quantify $K_*$ in sloshing problems by traditional Computational Fluid Mechanics (CFD) methods. He calls for analytically-oriented approaches that capture singular behaviour of the fundamental linear solution.

The need in analytically-oriented approaches to fluid sloshing in tanks with baffles has motivated us to undertake a special applied mathematical studies. We restricted ourselves to the case of relatively simple tank geometry, exemplified in this paper by a vertical circular cylinder. The research project pursued three consequent, linked goals: (i) the development of analytically-oriented methods for the linearised fluid sloshing problem that approximate the natural spectrum of the free-standing wave modes as precise as the singular asymptotics of the linear fundamental solutions at the baffle edge; (ii) the generalisation of nonlinear modal methods for theoretical classification of steady-state resonant fluid motions in similar manner as it has been done by Lukovsky [35], Faltinsen $et$ $al.$ [19, 18] and Gavrilyuk $et$ $al.$ [24] for smooth cylindrical tanks; (iii) an analytical quantification of the fluid damping due to vorticity stress at the baffle edge by utilising both Buzhinskii's formula [7]. The problem on analytical approximations of the linear fundamental solutions, the core of the project, is investigated in the present paper.

The linear fluid sloshing in a circular cylindrical tank with rigid baffles has been studied by many authors in context of spacecraft applications. Experimental and numerical results are reported by Dokuchaev [15], Bauer [5], Rabinovich [45], Ermakov $et$ $al.$ [17], Trotsenko [49], Morozov [43] and, recently, by Watson & Evans [52], Biswal $et$ $al.$ [6] and Gedikli & Ergüven [26, 27]. Since the task of these papers consists basically in quantifying the lower natural sloshing frequency, almost all theoretical and numerical investigation were based on classical finite element schemes that provide sufficient accuracy in computing the primary natural tone. To the authors knowledge, there is very limited set of numerical approaches which take into account analytical features of the velocity potential at the baffle edge. Three of the rare examples are represented in papers by Galitsin & Trotsenko [23], Trotsenko [50] and Gavrilyuk $et$ $al.$ [25] devoted to two-dimensional linear sloshing in a rectangular tank with two horizontal baffles. After detailed reading these papers, we found it possible to develop similar method in more general cases including the tanks of circular base. As a result, we obtained a very efficient and precise semi-analytical solver which gives six significant figures of the fundamental frequencies with small (up to 8) number of the basis functions. Abilities of the method are demonstrated by numerical examples. The analysis of fundamental sloshing spectrum versus geometric size of the baffle and its position has also been done. The failure of the method is detected when either baffle is very close to the mean fluid surface or baffle is sufficiently wide to prevent fluid current between lower (under the baffle) and upper (over the baffle) fluid domains. We give mathematical and physical treatment of these failures as well as note that decreasing length between the baffle plate and the hydrostatic fluid surface leads in practise to either the baffle stripping or the shallow wave motions over the baffle.
1. Statement of the problem

1.1. Theory

Let a rigid circular base cylindrical tank of the radius $R$ be partially filled by a fluid with the mean depth $h$. The inner periphery of the tank contains a thin rigid ring-plate baffle which divides the amount fluid height $h$ into $h_1$ and $h_2$, where $h_1$ is the mean height of fluid layer over the baffle and $h_2$ is the length between the baffle and the bottom. The thickness of the baffle is assumed to be negligible relative to $h_1$ and $h_2$. The fluid motions occurring due to initial perturbations are furthermore described in the framework of the inviscid incompressible hydrodynamic model with irrotational flows. In order to conserve the baffle inside of the fluid bulk (the sloshing does not strip the baffle), the free-standing waves deflections relative to hydrostatic plane are assumed to be smaller than $h_1$.

The problem is studied in the size-dimensionless formulation suggesting that all lengths and physical constants are normalised by the circular base radius $R$. This implies in particular that $h_1 := h_1/R, h_2 := h_2/R, g := g/L_1$ (the gravity acceleration $g$ has now the dimension $[s^{-2}]$) etc. The free boundary problem is formulated in the tank-fixed coordinate system $Oxyz$. The $Oz$-axis is directed along the symmetry axis of the tank and the origin $O$ is posed in the plane of the baffle as shown for hydrostatic case in Figure 1 (a). Further, we assume small initial perturbations that initialise the linear free-standing gravity waves. Under certain circumstances, these waves can be found from the following problem (Feschenko et al. [22])

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \tilde{\Phi} &= 0 \text{ in } Q_0; & &\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } S_0 \text{ and } \Gamma; & &\int_{\Sigma_0} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial z} dS = 0, & (1.1a) \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} & &\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial t} + g\tilde{f} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_0, & (1.1b)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( Q_0 \) is the static fluid domain, \( S_0 \) is the statically wetted tank surface, \( \Sigma_0 \) coincides with the mean fluid surface, \( \nu \) is the outward normal to \( Q_0 \), the function \( \tilde{f}(x,y,t) \) defines small-amplitude deviations of the free surface evolution \( (z = \tilde{f}(x,y,t)) \) and \( \Phi(x,y,z,t) \) denotes the linear velocity potential. The boundary value problem (1.1) should be accomplished by the initial conditions

\[
\tilde{f}(0,x,y) = \tilde{f}_0(x,y); \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t}(0,x,y) = \tilde{f}_1(x,y), \quad \int_{\Sigma_0} \tilde{f}_i dS = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

(1.2)

where the prescribed small-norm functions \( \tilde{f}_0 \) and \( \tilde{f}_1 \) define initial deviations and velocities of the free surface, respectively.

Solutions of the linear problem (1.1) are associated with a special class of spectral problems with spectral parameter in boundary conditions. This suggests the substitution

\[
\Phi(x,y,z,t) = \varphi(x,y,z) \exp(i\omega t), \quad I^2 = -1,
\]

(1.3)

which introduces the natural frequency \( \omega \) and the natural mode \( \varphi(x,y,z) \). By rewriting the boundary conditions (1.1b) to the form

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + g \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = 0; \quad \tilde{f} = \frac{1}{I} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \quad \text{on} \ \Sigma_0
\]

(1.4)

and introducing \( \kappa = \omega^2/g \), the evolutionary problem (1.1a) (1.4) is transformed to

\[
\Delta \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in} \ Q_0; \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on} \ S_0 \ \text{and} \ \Gamma,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = \kappa \varphi \quad \text{on} \ \Sigma_0; \quad \int_{\Sigma_0} \varphi dS = 0.
\]

As established by Eastham [16], the spectral problem (1.5) has a real positive pointer spectrum \( \{\kappa_i\}, \ k_i \rightarrow +\infty \) and \( \{\varphi_i(x,y,z)\} \) put together an orthogonal basis in \( L_2(\Sigma_0) \) for any functions which satisfy the last integral condition of (1.5). These spectral theorems deduce that eigenfunctions of (1.5) constitute, via formula (1.3), the fundamental solution of the evolutionary problem (1.1). Having known \( \tilde{f}_0 \) and \( \tilde{f}_1 \) in (1.2) we can find \( \Phi \) by using the Fourier series in \( \{\varphi_i(x,y,z)\} \), the natural frequencies are determined by \( \omega_i = \sqrt{\kappa_i} \).

1.2. Natural modes in a circular-base tank with a ring baffle

When \( Q_0 \) has axial-symmetric shape, the spectral problem (1.5) allows for separation of spatial variables in the \((r, \eta, z)\)-cylindrical coordinate system, e.g. \( x = r \cos \eta, y = r \sin \eta, z = z \). Introducing \( \varphi_i^{(m)}(r, \eta, z) = \varphi_i^{(m)}(r) \exp(Im \eta), \ m = 0, 1, \ldots \) reduces (1.5) to the \( m \)-parametric family of the two-dimensional spectral problems in the meridional cross-section of \( Q_0 \):

\[
L_m(\varphi^{(m)}) = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial z^2} - m^2 \varphi^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{in} \ G,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{on} \ L_1; \quad \frac{\partial \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{on} \ \gamma; \quad \varphi^{(m)}(z,0) < \infty,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi^{(m)}}{\partial z} = \kappa^{(m)} \varphi^{(m)} \quad \text{on} \ L_0, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots; \quad \int_{L_0} r \varphi^{(0)} dr = 0.
\]

(1.6)
where geometric definitions of $G, L_1, L_0$ and $\gamma$ are sketched in Figure 1 (b).

Simple analysis shows that (1.6) has an analytical solution for either $a = 1$ (there is not baffle) or $a = 0$ (the horizontal baffle completely splits the tank into two non-connected volumes). Explicit expressions for the natural spectra $\kappa[h_i^{(m)}]$ and $\kappa[h_1^{(m)}], i \geq 1$ (eigenvalues are posed in ascending order with $i$) can be written down as

$$\kappa[h_i^{(m)}] = \alpha_{i,m} \tanh(\alpha_{i,m} h); \quad \kappa[h_1^{(m)}] = \alpha_{i,m} \tanh(\alpha_{i,m} h_1),$$

(1.7)

where $\alpha_{i,m}$ is the $i$th root of the equation $J_m(\alpha_{i,m}) = 0$ ($J_m(x)$ is the Bessel function of first kind). The eigenfunctions take the form

$$\varphi[h_i^{(m)}] = J_m(\alpha_{i,m} r) \frac{\cosh(\alpha_{i,m} (z + h_2))}{\cosh(\alpha_{i,m} h)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \cos m\eta, & \text{if } \eta < \pi/2; \\ \sin m\eta, & \text{if } \eta > \pi/2 \end{array} \right.$$ \quad (1.8)

$$\varphi[h_1^{(m)}] = J_m(\alpha_{i,m} r) \frac{\cosh(\alpha_{i,m} z)}{\cosh(\alpha_{i,m} h_1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \cos m\eta, & \text{if } \eta < \pi/2; \\ \sin m\eta, & \text{if } \eta > \pi/2. \end{array} \right.$$ \quad (1.8)

Spectral theorems given by Feschenko et al. [22] and Lukovsky et al. [34] show that eigenvalues of (1.6) lay between $\kappa[h_1^{(m)}]$ and $\kappa[h_i^{(m)}]$, i.e.

$$\kappa[h_1^{(m)}] \leq \kappa_i^{(m)} \leq \kappa[h_i^{(m)}], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots$$

(1.9)

2. Approximate fundamental solutions

2.1. Variational method

If $0 < a < 1$, the spectral problems (1.6) have not analytical solutions. Following Galitsin & Trotsenko [23] and Trotsenko [50], let us consider an artificial $\gamma_0 = \{z = 0, 0 \leq r < a\}$ that cuts (together with $\gamma = \{z = 0, a \leq r \leq 1\}$) the original meridional domain $G$ into two rectangles $G_1$ and $G_2$ as shown in Figure 1 (b). The original solution $\psi^{(m)}$ falls then into two functions defined in $G_1$ and $G_2$ as follows

$$\psi^{(m)}(z, r) = \begin{cases} \psi^{(m,1)}(z, r), & (z, r) \in G_1, \\ \psi^{(m,2)}(z, r), & (z, r) \in G_2. \end{cases}$$

(2.1)

These function must satisfy

$$L_m(\psi^{(m,\delta)})(z) = 0 \quad \text{in } G_i, \quad \psi^{(m,\delta)}(z, 0) < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

(2.2)

and the boundary conditions following from (1.6), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \psi^{(m,1)}}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (r = 1, \ 0 < z < h_1); \quad \frac{\partial \psi^{(m,1)}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (z = 0, \ a < r < 1);$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi^{(m,1)}}{\partial z} = \kappa^{(m)} \psi^{(m,1)} \quad (z = h_1, \ 0 < r < 1);$$

(2.3)
for $\psi^{(m,1)}$, and
\[
\frac{\partial \psi^{(m,2)}}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (r = 1, -h_2 < z < 0); \quad \frac{\partial \psi^{(m,2)}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (z = 0, a < r < 1),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \psi^{(m,2)}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (z = -h_2, 0 < r < 1),
\]
for $\psi^{(m,2)}$.

In addition, since the original $\psi^{(m)}(r, z)$ and their first derivatives should be continuous at $\gamma_0$, (2.2)-(2.4) must be accomplished on $\gamma_0$ by the following transmission conditions
\[
\psi^{(m,1)}(r, 0) = \psi^{(m,2)}(r, 0) \quad (0 < r < a),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \psi^{(m,1)}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \psi^{(m,2)}}{\partial z} = N_m^{(m)}(r) \quad (0 < r < a),
\]
where $N_m^{(m)}(r)$ is an auxiliary function from $L_2(0, a)$ depending on $\kappa^{(m)}$. Besides, when $m = 0$, the integral condition of (1.6) leads to
\[
\int_{L_0} r\psi^{(0,1)}(r) dr = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^a r N_0^{(m)}(r) dr = 0.
\]

Further, we consider a transmission procedure, which inputs the test spectral parameter $\kappa^{(m)} \notin \{\kappa_i^{(m)} | h_1, i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and assumes that the test function $N_m^{(m)}(r)$ is known. By combining (2.3)-(2.5b) we get two boundary value problems (2.2)+(2.3)+(2.5b) and (2.2)+(2.4)+(2.5b), which have the analytical Green functions
\[
K_m^{(m)}(r, z; r_0, z_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(\alpha_km)J_m(\alpha_km_0)}{\alpha_km_0^2 \sinh(\alpha_km_0 - \theta_km)} \cosh[\alpha_km(z - h_1) + \theta_km] \cosh(\alpha_km_0 z_0), ((r, z), (r_0, z_0) \in G_1; z > z_0),
\]
\[
K_m(r, z; r_0, z_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(\alpha_km)J_m(\alpha_km_0)}{\alpha_km_0^2 \sinh(\alpha_km_0 h_2)} \cosh[\alpha_km(z + h_2)] \cosh(\alpha_km_0 z_0), ((r, z), (r_0, z_0) \in G_2; z > z_0),
\]
where
\[
\theta_km = \ln \left( \frac{\alpha_km + \kappa^{(m)}}{\alpha_km - \kappa^{(m)}} \right); \quad n_{km}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a r J_m^2(\alpha_km) dr = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2_km} \right) J_m^2(\alpha_km).
\]
These Green functions compute
\[
\psi^{(m,1)}(z, r) = -\int_0^a N_m^{(m)}(r) K_m^{(m)}(z, r; 0, r_0) r_0 dr_0,
\]
\[
\psi^{(m,2)}(z, r) = \int_0^a N_m^{(m)}(r) K_m(z, r; 0, r_0) r_0 dr_0 + \delta_{0m}\text{const}
\]
(δ_m is the Kronecker delta).

The solutions (2.7) must satisfy the transmission condition (2.5a), which leads to the integral equation

\[ \int_0^a \left[ K_m(h_1, r, 0, r_0) + K_m^{(m)}(h_1, r; 0, r_0) \right] N_m^{(m)}(r) r_0 dr = \delta_m \]  

(2.8)

with respect to \( N_m^{(m)} \) and \( \kappa^{(m)} \). A way to solve this integral equation consists of the Galerkin variational method. This implies the series

\[ N_m^{(m)}(r) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} X_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} f_p^{(m)}(r) \quad (0 < r < a), \]  

(2.9)

where \( \{ X_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} \} \) are unknown and \( \{ f_p^{(m)} \} \) is a two-parametric family of the \( L_2(0, a) \)-complete functions (the case \( m = 0 \) requires \( \int_0^a f_p^{(0)}(r) dr = 0, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots \)). The integral equation (2.8) can be reduced to the two-parametric equalities

\[ \int_0^a \left( \psi^{(m, 1)}(r, 0) - \psi^{(m, 2)}(r, 0) \right) r f_p^{(m)}(r) dr = 0, \quad p, q = 1, 2, \ldots, \]  

(2.10)

or, more precisely, to the following infinite-dimensional system of linear homogeneous algebraic equations with respect to \( X_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} \)

\[ \lim_{p_0 \to \infty} p_0 \sum_{p=1}^{p_0} X_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} A_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} = 0, \quad (q = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \]  

(2.11)

where

\[ A_p^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{pk}^{(m)} B_{qk}^{(m)} \alpha_{km} n_{km}^2 \left[ \coth(\alpha_{km} h_2) + \frac{\kappa^{(m)}}{\kappa^{(m)} - \alpha_{km} \tanh(\alpha_{km} h_1)} \right], \]  

(2.12)

\[ B_{pk}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{km} n_{km}^2} \int_0^a f_p^{(m)}(r) J_m(\alpha_{km} r) r dr. \]

The linear system (2.11) has non-trivial solution, if and only if, the test value \( \kappa^{(m)} \) coincides with an eigenvalue from (1.6). When truncating (2.11), the necessary solvability condition

\[ \det \{ A_{pq}^{(m, \kappa^{(m)})}, \quad p, q = 1, \ldots, p_0 \} = 0 \]  

(2.13)

can be considered as a transcendental equation with respect to the test numbers \( \kappa^{(m)} \).

Therefore, the roots of (2.13) output the approximate eigenvalues \( \{ \kappa_p^{(m)} \}, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots, p_0 \) as well as enable calculation of approximate eigenfunctions. The latter suggests the usage of non-trivial solutions \( \{ X_p^{(m, n)} \} \) of (2.11) and the integral presentation (see, Watson [51])

\[ J_{\mu+\nu+1}(z) = \frac{z^{\nu+1}}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)} \int_0^\pi J_{\mu}(z \sin \theta) \sin^{\nu+1} \theta \cos^{2\nu+1} \theta d\theta, \]
with the gamma-function $\Gamma(x)$, which deduces

$$\psi_n^{(m,1)}(z, r) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{(m,n)} J_m(\alpha_k r) g_k^{(m,n)}(r),$$

$$\psi_n^{(m,2)}(z, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{(m,n)} J_m(\alpha_k r) g_k^{(m)}(r), \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where

$$a_k^{(m,n)} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} X_p^{(m,\kappa_{km}^{(n)})} \ell_{pk}^{(m)}, \quad b_{pk}^{(m)} = \bar{b}_{pk}^{(m)} - \delta_{0n}\frac{2p + 1}{2p - 1}\theta_{p+1,k}^{(m)},$$

$$\bar{b}_{pk}^{(m)} = \frac{a^{m+2}2^{p-\delta}p^{p-\delta}\Gamma(p - \frac{1}{2}) J_m+p-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{km}a)}{\alpha_{km}^{p+1}k_{km}(\alpha_{km}a)^{p+1}},$$

$$g_k^{(m,n)} = \frac{\cos[\alpha_{km}(z - h_1)]}{\cos(\alpha_{km}h_1)} + \frac{\alpha_{km} + \kappa_{km}^{(n)} \tan[\alpha_{km}(z - h_1)]}{\alpha_{km} \tan(\alpha_{km}h_1) - \kappa_{km}^{(n)}},$$

$$g_k^{(m)} = \frac{\cos[\alpha_{km}(z + h_2)]}{\sinh(\alpha_{km}h_2)}.$$  

### 2.2. Functional basis

Accuracy, convergence and numerical effectiveness of the variational method depend on the functional basis $\{f_p^{(m)}\}$ used in (2.9). Since

$$\frac{\partial \psi^{(m)}}{\partial z} \sim \sqrt{\frac{a}{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2}} \text{ as } r \rightarrow a; \quad \frac{\partial \psi^{(m)}}{\partial z} \sim r^n, \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0$$

(\text{Lukovsky et al.}[34]), the basis has to have special asymptotic behaviour at $r = 0$ and $a$, respectively.

A simplest example of such basis that is used in the present paper reads

$$f_p^{(m)}(r) = \frac{r^m}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2\right]^{p-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (m,p = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

$$f_p^{(0)}(r) = f_p^{(*)}(r) - \frac{2p + 1}{2p - 1}f_p^{(1)}(r), \quad (m = 0; p = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where

$$f_p^{(*)}(r) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2\right]^{p-\frac{3}{2}}.$$  

Remark 2.1. Since $A_{pq}^{(m,n)}$, $p, q = 1, \ldots, p_0$ are determined by the series (2.12) which are
functions of $\kappa^{(m)}$, accuracy in computing roots of the transcendental equation (2.13) will depend on convergence of (2.12). By using

$$\alpha_{km} \approx k \pi; \quad J_m^2(\alpha_{km}) \approx \frac{2}{\pi^2 k^2}, \quad k \to \infty,$$

(2.17)

one can show that elements of (2.12) are

$$O\left(\frac{1}{k^{p+q}}\right), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; \quad p, q = 1, 2, \ldots$$

(2.18)

and, therefore, the case $p = q = 1$ is characterised by weak convergence. In order to improve this convergence, one can account for (2.17) and take in mind that the series

$$S_m = \frac{2a^{2m+2}}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_k^{(m)}}{k^2}; \quad \phi_k^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \sin^2 k\pi a, & (m = 0, 2), \\ \cos^2 k\pi a, & (m = 1) \end{cases}$$

are computed analytically with the following result

$$S_m = \begin{cases} \pi a^{2m+3} (1 - a), & (m = 0, 2), \\ \pi a^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} + a^2 - a \right), & (m = 1). \end{cases}$$

This makes it possible to re-write (2.12) for $p = q = 1$ to the following form

$$\alpha_{11}^{(m)} = S_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (b_k^{(m)})^2 \alpha_{km} \frac{4}{2m} \coth(\alpha_{km} h_2) + \coth(\alpha_{km} h_1 - \varphi_k^{(m, n)}) - \frac{2a^{2m+2} \varphi_k^{(m)}}{k^2 \pi} \right\},$$

where the modified numerical series has the asymptotics $O(k^{-3})$ instead of $O(k^{-2})$.

3. Numerical results

3.1. Convergence

When using the functional basis (2.16), the proposed Galerkin method shows good convergence for different values of $h_1, h_2$ and $a$. This can be viewed in Table 1 representing $\kappa_i^{(m)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ($m = 0, 1, 2$) versus $p_0$. If $h_1 \geq 0.1$ and $a \geq 0.3$, the numerical method guarantees six significant figures of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ ($m = 0, 1, 2$) with the truncation size $p_0 = 5$. Approximation of some of higher eigenvalues need $p_0 = 8$ to get the same accuracy.

One should note, that calculations of approximate $\kappa^{(m)}$ from the transcendental equations (2.13) are usually based on an iterative methods and, therefore, the effectiveness and stability of our algorithm may depend on initial approximations. We tested various solvers of the transcendental equations. When $h_1 \geq 0.1$ and $a \geq 0.3$, all of them provide stable computing with arbitrary initial $\kappa^{(m)}$ from the range determined by inequalities (1.9). The situation changed for lower $h_1$ and $a$, when the solvers became unstable and a special care of initial approximation has been needed. Typical way that has been used in our numerical
Sloshing with a rigid-ring baffle

Table 1. Convergence of \( \kappa_n^{(0)}, \kappa_n^{(1)} \) and \( \kappa_n^{(2)} \), \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) versus truncation size \( p_0 \) in (2.13) for \( a = 0.7 \), \( h_2 = 0.5 \) and two values of \( h_1 = 0.1 \) and 0.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( p_0 )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(0)} )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(1)} )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(0)} )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(1)} )</th>
<th>( \kappa_n^{(2)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.28600</td>
<td>0.95790</td>
<td>1.53476</td>
<td>3.75597</td>
<td>1.62183</td>
<td>2.90455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.51200</td>
<td>2.91580</td>
<td>2.86952</td>
<td>5.33184</td>
<td>2.86952</td>
<td>5.33184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.02400</td>
<td>5.83164</td>
<td>5.83164</td>
<td>10.66328</td>
<td>5.83164</td>
<td>10.66328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>36.09600</td>
<td>21.06656</td>
<td>21.06656</td>
<td>42.65312</td>
<td>21.06656</td>
<td>42.65312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests consisted in implementing a path-following procedure with respect to the two real parameters \( h_1 \) and \( a \). The procedure computed the roots of (2.13) for the fixed \( h_1 < 0.1 \) and \( a < 0.3 \) with initial \( \kappa^{(m)} \) obtained as roots of (2.13) for larger \( h_1 \) and \( a \). This path-following made it possible to extend the results for \( h_1 > 0.01 \) and \( a > 0.1 \). However, it showed sensitivity to stepping in \( h_1 \) and \( a \), especially for the dimensions \( p_0 \geq 5 \), and failed for \( h_1 \leq 0.01 \) and \( a \leq 0.1 \).

**Numerical failure for** \( h_1 \rightarrow 0 \). While good convergence of our variational method for non-small \( h_1 \) is caused by adequate functional basis which captures the actual asymptotic behaviour of \( \kappa_n^{(m)} = \partial \psi^{(m)} / \partial z(r,0) \) at \( r = a \) and 0, its numerical failure for smaller \( h_1 \) needs special studies. Mathematically, it can be explained by the fact that the spectral problem (1.6) with \( h_1 = 0 \) (the baffle lies on the unperturbed free surface), which describes the fluid sloshing in a circular hole, has other, logarithmic asymptotics for \( \partial \psi^{(m)} / \partial z \) at \( r = a \), which is inconsistent with our functional basis. Detailed mathematical analysis of the corresponding spectral problem is given by Kozlov et al. [32] and Kuznetsov & Motygin [33].

An alternative, physical treatment of the numerical failure involves a shallow fluid analysis.
of the fluid layer over the rigid plate assuming that \( h_1/(1-a) \to 0 \). By using prediction of the shallow-like sloshing \( h_1/(1-a) \lesssim 0.2 \) given by Faltinsen & Timokha [21], we deduce strongly nonlinear and dissipative surface waves (Chester [11] and Chester & Bones [12]) which are not described by our inviscid linear fluid model for \( h_1 < 0.1 \) and \( a < 0.3 \). This means that any results based on linear inviscid model for small \( h_1 \) can be irrelevant.

**Numerical failure for \( a \to 0 \).** Even if \( h_1 \) is not small, our method can be invalid for small \( a \), namely, when the baffle is relatively wide to prevent fluid flows between the upper and lower fluid domains. This numerical failure is caused by using the Green technique in our variational method. If a thin rigid-ring baffle is fitted in the inner fluid periphery, the eigenvalues \( \kappa_i^{[m]} \) are not only confined to (1.9), but also depend monotonically on \( a \). Theoretically, by using the spectral theorem documented by Feschenko et al. [22] we deduce that when the baffle is introduced further and further into the fluid, the spectral values \( \kappa_i^{[m]} \), \( m = 0, 1, \ldots; i = 1, 2, \ldots \) change from its corresponding value in the absence of the baffle to the natural frequencies than it corresponding to the two separate fluids, i.e.

\[
\kappa_i^{[m]} \to \kappa_i[h_1]^{[m]} + 0 \quad \text{as} \quad a \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_i^{[m]} \to \kappa_i[h_i]^{[m]} - 0 \quad \text{as} \quad a \to 1. \tag{3.1}
\]

Accounting for the first limit we can see that if a test value \( \kappa^{(m)} \) approximates solution with small \( a \), it is close to one from \( \kappa_i[h_1]^{[m]} \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), and therefore the Green function (2.6a) becomes degenerate. The reason is the ill-posedness of the boundary value problem (2.2)+(2.3)+(2.5b) and consequent division by zero in (2.6a), when at least one root of (2.13) tends to an isolated \( \kappa_i[h_1]^{[m]} \). On the other hand, the failure for \( a \to 0 \) implies that fundamental solutions are close to those without baffle, and the latter can be used in practical calculations.

The limitations on \( h_1 \) and \( a \) restricted our systematical study of the linear baffled sloshing to the domain \( 0.1 \leq h_1 \) and \( 0.3 < a \), where the Galerkin scheme is stable and guarantees high accuracy.

### 3.2. Natural surface wave profiles

By using the second boundary condition of (1.4) the linear fundamental solutions \( \varphi_j(x,y,z) \), \( j \geq 1 \), determine standing wave profiles (natural surface modes) as follows

\[
z = F_j(r,\eta) = \kappa_j\varphi_j(r,\eta,0) = \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z}(r,\eta,0), \quad j \geq 1. \tag{3.2}
\]

Splitting angular (in terms \( \eta \)) and radial (along \( r \)) components and noting that the angular steepness is defined by the trigonometric functions, we focus furthermore on the two-dimensional projections in the meridional cross-section

\[
z = F_i^{(m)}(r) = \kappa_i^{[m]}\psi_i^{[m]}(r,0), \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\]

Our analytically-oriented method is applicable to compute \( F_i^{(m)}(r) \). Some examples of the radial profiles are drawn in Figure 2. These examples leave traces the curves \( z = F_i^{(m)}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \) versus \( a \). The figures show that, as it has been predicted, if...
\( a = 0 \) or 1, the natural radial surface profiles coincide with that defined for smooth circular-base tanks (there are no baffles), i.e. \( F_i^{(m)}(r) = J_m(\alpha_i r) \), \( m = 0, 1, \ldots; i = 1, 2, \ldots \). The latter is drawn with solid line. Even if \( h_1 \) is relatively small (\( h_1 = 0.1 \) in our examples) and \( a \neq 0 \) and 1, the numerical analysis establishes that \( F_i(m) \) define qualitatively the same profiles as for \( a = 0 \). The difference is of quantitative character. Deviations of \( F_i^{(m)} \) relative to \( J_m(\alpha_i r) \) is larger for smaller \( h_1 \) (do compare the first rows in Figures 2 and 3). The maximum deviation depend on \( m \) and \( i \). Numerical tests find it in the domain \( 0.5 \leq a \leq 0.7 \).
3.3. Natural spectrum versus $a$

Spectral theorems by Feschenko et al. [22] establish monotonic evolution of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ versus $a$. Besides,

$$\kappa_i^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \kappa_i^{(m)}[h], & \text{for } a = 1, \\ \kappa_i^{(m)}[h_1^*], & \text{for } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

This theoretical prediction is illustrated for $m = 0, 1, 2$; $i = 1, 2, 3$ in Figure 4.

We performed numerous tests to find quantitative features of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ versus $a$ for different $h_1$ and $h_2$. They showed that the critical value $h_1^*$, so that $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ is approximately equal to $\kappa_i^{(m)}[h_1^*]$ for $h_1 > h_1^*$, depends on $m$ and $i$. This critical value decreases with increasing $i$ (compare first and third rows in Figure 4).

When $h_1$ is relatively small, the monotonic function $\kappa_i^{(m)}(a)$ has non-small gradients. Calculations showed that when $a = 0.3$, the eigenvalues $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ are close to their lower limits $\kappa[h_1]^{(m)}$ which are denoted on the vertical axes. This confirms the design criteria that the lowest natural frequency and mode of the baffled sloshing with $a < 0.3$ can be approximated by those for $a = 0$. The second and the third rows show also that the higher frequencies are
still not close to their lower limit at $a = 0.3$, and, therefore, their influence to the nearly-
 shallow behaviour over the baffle and free standing wave patterns in the hole is significant. 
Physically, these properties imply that increasing the baffle size over $0.7R$ ($a < 0.3$) for relatively large length between the baffle plate and the free surface gives minor contribution to corresponding natural frequencies, i.e. the fluid motions under the baffle plate do not influence in this case the linear free-standing waves. However, this is not true for the higher 
 modes (with increasing $i$) as shown in the last line of Figure 4. The graphs Figure 4 show also quite different quantitative behaviour of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ versus $a$ depending on integer parameter $i$, which characterises the wave steepness in radial direction of the free-standing waves. While $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ associated with the longest (in radial direction) natural waves ($i = 1$ in the first row in Figure 4) have positive second derivatives (are convex) in the range $0.3 < a < 1$, the second derivatives of $\kappa_2^{(m)}(a), \kappa_3^{(m)}(a)$, $m = 0, 1, 2$ may change signs. The graphs have a shelf-like shape. Our numerical analysis shows that the ranges of small gradient coincide with the nodal points of $z = F_i^{(m)}$, while the domain of large gradients of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ occurs nearly anti-nodal points.

3.4. Natural spectrum versus $h_1$

The numerical examples in Figure 5 show the monotonic dependence of $\kappa_i^{(m)}$ on $h_1 \geq 0.1$ as a quantitative numerical validation of the general spectral theory from Feshchenko et al. [22]. Whereas $h_1 > 0.3$, the functions $\kappa_i^{(m)} = \kappa_i^{(m)}(a)$ become approximately constant, especially for the higher modes (see the second and third rows in Figure 5). An physical explanation is connected with exponential decaying of the natural modes in vertical cylindrical domains. When the baffle is situated deeper in the fluid, its influence on the standing waves around the hydrostatic plane becomes lower. Since the decaying increases with $\kappa_i^{(m)}$, the relative influence of the baffling grows with the eigenvalues. However, lowest mode associated with $\kappa_i^{(1)}$ is affected by $h_1$, even for relatively large $h_1$. The maximum influence is detected for approximately $a = 0.7$.

4. Some concluding remarks

The paper showed that the problem on linear fluid sloshing in a circular base cylindrical tank allows for semi-analytical solutions that account for analytical features of the velocity potential at the baffle edge. By adopting appropriate functional basis in a variational technique and using transmission of two boundary problems (over and under the baffle level), we obtained very robust and efficient numerical method. The method has a lot of advantages and many traditional engineering problems associated with linear fluid sloshing in a circular-base tank with a horizontal baffle can be solved. Some limitations of the method are detected with small fluid layer over the baffle and for relatively wide baffle, which covers the fluid current into the fluid volume beneath the baffle. Both cases are not of practical interest in this physical formulation, because may lead to shallow flows that are characterised by significant nonlinearities and damping.

The main advantage of the analytical approximate solutions is their applicability in non-linear modal analysis and quantification of the vorticity damping at the edges. This is subject of our forthcoming paper.
Fig. 5. Eigenvalues $\kappa_i^{(m)}$, $m = 0, 1, 2; \ i = 1, 2, 3$ versus $h_1$ for $a = 0.7, 0.4$ and $0.3$.
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