

# The second closed geodesic on the complex projective plane \*

Hans-Bert Rademacher

## Abstract

We show the existence of at least two geometrically distinct closed geodesics on a complex projective plane with a bumpy and non-reversible Finsler metric

2000 MSC classification: 53C22; 53C60; 58E10

*Keywords:* closed geodesic, energy functional, bumpy Finsler metric, Morse inequalities, equivariant Morse theory

## 1 Introduction

On a compact and simply-connected manifold  $M$  with a non-reversible Finsler metric there always exists a closed geodesic. There are non-reversible Finsler metrics on compact rank one symmetric spaces carrying only finitely many (geometrically distinct) closed geodesics, the geometry of this so-called *Katok examples* is explained in [Zi]. To prove the existence of several geometrically distinct closed geodesics one can consider bumpy metrics. For a *bumpy metric* all closed geodesics are non-degenerate, i.e. there are no non-trivial and periodic Jacobi fields along a closed geodesic. In this case the energy functional on the free loop space is a Morse function with non-degenerate critical  $S^1$ -orbits. In [Ra1, ch.4] the author has shown that on the 2-sphere with a bumpy metric there are at least two closed geodesics. Recently Bangert and Long proved in [BL] that for *every* non-reversible Finsler metric on  $S^2$  there are two geometrically distinct closed geodesics.

---

\*Front. Math. China 3 (2008) 253-258

Independently Duan and Long [DL] and the author [Ra3] showed that on an  $n$ -dimensional sphere with a bumpy non-reversible Finsler metric there are at least two geometrically distinct closed geodesics for all  $n > 2$ . A recent survey on existence results for closed geodesics on Finsler manifolds is [Lo]. In this short note we show that one can obtain a similar result for manifolds of the rational homotopy type of the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a compact and simply-connected manifold of the rational homotopy type of the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  carrying a bumpy and non-reversible Finsler metric. Then there are at least two geometrically distinct closed geodesics.*

It is likely that two is not the optimal number, the Katok examples on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  carry six closed geodesics. Existence results for closed geodesics for metrics with positive flag curvature are given in [Ra3].

## 2 The Proof

We assume that the manifold  $M$  satisfies the assumptions of the Theorem and we assume that there is only a single closed geodesic  $c$ . Hence there is a prime closed geodesic  $c : S^1 \rightarrow M$  such that any other closed geodesic is geometrically equivalent to  $c$ . In other words any closed geodesic  $\tilde{c}$  is up to the choice of a starting point of the form  $\tilde{c} = c^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , here  $c^m(t) = c(mt)$  is the  $m$ -th iterate of the prime closed geodesic  $c$ . Let

$$v_i := \#\{m \geq 1; \text{ind}(c^m) = i \equiv \text{ind}(c) \pmod{2}\}. \quad (1)$$

If  $\gamma = \gamma_c \in \{\pm 1/2, \pm 1\}$  is defined by  $\gamma > 0$  if and only if  $\text{ind}(c)$  is even and  $|\gamma| = 1/2$  if and only if  $\text{ind}(c^2) - \text{ind}(c)$  is odd then we can also express the number  $v_i$  of homologically visible critical points of index  $i$  as follows:

$$v_i = \#\{m \geq 1; \text{ind}(c^m) = i \text{ and } m\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then the Morse inequalities are

$$v_i = b_i + q_i + q_{i-1} \quad (2)$$

for a sequence  $q_i$  of non-negative integers, cf. [Ra1, (2.3)]. Here  $b_i = b_i(\Lambda\mathbb{C}P^2/S^1, \Lambda^0\mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Q})$  are the rational Betti numbers of the quotient  $\Lambda\mathbb{C}P^2/S^1$  of the free loop space  $\Lambda\mathbb{C}P^2$  of the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  with respect to the canonical  $S^1$  action. These Betti numbers are determined

in [Ra1, (2.6)]:

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & ; & i = 1 \\ 2 & ; & i = 3 \\ 3 & ; & i = 2k + 5 & ; & k \geq 0 \\ 0 & ; & i = 2k & ; & k \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Therefore the topological invariant  $B(\mathbb{C}P^2) = B(2, 2)$  introduced in [Ra1, (2.1)] satisfies:

$$B(2, 2) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^k b_k = -\frac{3}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Equation 2 implies that  $v_i > 0$  only if  $i$  is odd. Therefore the sequence  $q_i$  vanishes identically, i.e. for all  $i \geq 0$ :

$$v_i = b_i. \quad (5)$$

The average index

$$\alpha = \alpha_c = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ind}(c^m)}{m}$$

satisfies

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{3} |\gamma| \in \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

cf. [Ra1, Thm. 31] and Equation 4. The sequence  $\text{ind}(c^m)$  can be expressed by Bott's formula in terms of a function  $I = I_c : S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  as follows:

$$\text{ind}(c^m) = \sum_{z^m=1} I(z). \quad (7)$$

with the following properties:

- (a)  $I(z) = I(\bar{z})$ .
- (b) The function  $I$  is locally constant with the possible exception at points  $z$  belonging to the set  $\text{Spec}(P_c)$  of eigenvalues of the linearized Poincaré mapping.
- (c) Let  $F$  be a bumpy metric and let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_l < t_{l+1} = 1/2$  be the Poincaré exponents. Hence the set of eigenvalues  $z$  with  $|z| = 1, \text{Im}(z) > 0$  is given by  $\{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t_1) \dots, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t_l)\}$  for some  $l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and the numbers  $t_j$  are irrational.

- (d) With the help of the function  $I_c$  we get the following expression for the average index. Let  $I_1 = I_c(0) = I_c(\exp(2\pi it)); t \in [0, t_1)$  and for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l-1\} : I_j := I_c(\exp(2\pi it)); t \in (t_{j-1}, t_j)$  and  $I_{l+1} := I_c(-1) = I_c(\exp(2\pi it)); t \in (t_l, 1/2]$ . Hence

$$\{I_c(\exp(z)) | z \in S^1 - \text{Spec}(P_c)\} = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{l+1}\}.$$

Then Bott's formula Equation 7 implies

$$\alpha_c = \int_0^1 I_c(\exp(2\pi it)) dt = 2I_1 t_1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} I_j (t_j - t_{j-1}) + I_l (1 - 2t_l) \quad (8)$$

- (e) The *total splitting number*  $S = S_c$  of a closed geodesic on an 4-dimensional manifold satisfies

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^l |I_j - I_{j+1}| \leq 3. \quad (9)$$

We define the function  $e : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, e(a) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}a)$ . Now we determine the values  $I(e(p/q))$  for  $q = 1, 2, \dots$  until we obtain with the help of Bott's formula 7 a contradiction to Equation 5 derived from the Morse inequalities. We divide the proof into the following steps:

**Claim 1.**  $I(e(0)) = I(0) = \text{ind}(c) = 1; \text{ind}(c^m) \geq 2$  for all  $m \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq \text{ind}(c)$  for all  $m \geq 1$  we conclude from Equation 5 and Equation 3 that

$$I(1) = I(e(0)) = I_1 = 1. \quad (10)$$

Since  $v_1 = b_1 = 1$  we conclude that  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq 2$  for all  $m \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $I(e(1/2)) = I(-1) = 2; \text{ind}(c^2) = 3; \gamma = -1; \alpha = 2/3; t_2 - t_1 > 1/6; t_l > 1/3$

*Proof.* Since  $b_1 = v_1 = 1$  it also follows that  $\text{ind}(c^m) > 1$  for all  $m > 1$ . Since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  by Equation 6 we conclude from Equation 9 and Equation 8 that  $I(e(1/2)) \leq 2$  which implies:  $\text{ind}(c^2) \in \{2, 3\}$ . If  $\gamma = -1/2$  then  $\text{ind}(c^2)$  is even, i.e.  $\text{ind}(c^2) = 2$  resp.  $I(e(1/2)) = 1$ . Since  $S \leq 3$  it follows in this case that  $\max\{I_1, \dots, I_l\} = 1$ , i.e.

$$\text{ind}(c^3) = 1 + 2I(e(1/3)) = 3. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand Equation 6 implies  $\alpha = 1/3$ , therefore  $l = 2$  and  $I_1 = 1, I_2 = 0, I_3 = 1$ . Equation 8 implies  $t_2 - t_1 = 1/3$  from which we conclude that  $1/3 \in (t_1, t_2)$  i.e.  $I(e(1/3)) = 0$  contradicting Equation 11. Therefore  $\gamma = -1, I_0 = 1, I_1 = 0, l \in \{2, 3\}$  and

$$I(e(1/2)) = I_l = 2; \text{ind}(c^2) = 3. \quad (12)$$

Since  $\alpha = 2/3$  we obtain  $t_2 - t_1 > 1/6$  and  $t_l > 1/3$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.**  $I(e(1/3)) = 1; \text{ind}(c^3) = 3; \text{ind}(c^m) \geq 5$  for all  $m \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ind}(c^3) = 1 + 2I(e(1/3)) \geq 3$  and  $t_l > 1/3$  by Claim 2 we obtain  $I(e(1/3)) = 1$ , which implies  $\text{ind}(c^3) = 3$ . Since  $\text{ind}(c^2) = \text{ind}(c^3) = 3$  and  $v_3 = b_3 = 2$  we conclude  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq 5$  for all  $m \geq 4$ .  $\square$

**Claim 4.**  $I(e(1/4)) = 1; \text{ind}(c^4) = 5; t_2 < 1/4; l = 3, t_3 > 5/12$  and  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq 5$  for all  $m \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* By Claim 3:  $\text{ind}(c^4) = 3 + 2I(e(1/4)) \geq 5$ , i.e.  $I(e(1/4)) \geq 1$ . On the other hand  $t_l > 1/3$  by Claim 2 hence  $I(e(1/4)) = 1$ . Since  $t_2 - t_1 > 1/6$  and  $I(e(t)) = 0$  for  $t \in (t_1, t_2)$  it follows from  $I(e(1/4)) = I(e(1/3)) = 1, I(e(1/2)) = 2$  that  $t_2 < 1/4$  and hence  $l = 3$ . But then  $2/3 = \alpha > 1/2 + 2(1/2 - t_l) = 3/2 - 2t_3$  which implies  $t_3 > 5/12$ .  $\square$

**Claim 5.**  $I(e(1/5)) = I(e(2/5)) = 1; \text{ind}(c^5) = 5; t_2 < 1/5; t_1 < 1/30$

*Proof.*  $\text{ind}(c^5) = 1 + 2I(e(1/5)) + 2I(e(2/5)) \geq 5$  by Claim 4. Since  $t_3 > 5/12 > 2/5$  by Claim 4 we conclude  $I(e(2/5)) = 1$  and hence  $I(e(1/5)) = 1$ . Since  $t_2 - t_1 > 1/6; t_2 < 1/4$  we conclude  $t_2 < 1/5$ . On the other hand  $t_2 < 1/5$  and  $t_2 - t_1 > 1/6$  implies  $t_1 < 1/30$ .  $\square$

**Claim 6.**  $I(e(1/6)) = 0; \text{ind}(c^6) = 5$  and  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq 7$  for all  $m \geq 7$

*Proof.* Since  $t_2 - t_1 > 1/6$  and  $I(e(1/5)) = I(e(1/4)) = 1$  it follows that  $t_2 > 1/6$  i.e.  $I(e(1/6)) = 0$ . Then  $\text{ind}(c^6) = 1 + 2I(e(1/6)) + 2I(e(1/3)) + I(e(1/2)) = 5$ . Since  $\text{ind}(c^4) = \text{ind}(c^5) = \text{ind}(c^6) = 5$  and  $b_5 = 3$  it follows that  $\text{ind}(c^m) \geq 7$  for all  $m \geq 7$ .  $\square$

**Claim 7.**  $I(e(1/7)) = 0; I(e(2/7)) = 1; I(e(3/7)) = 2$  resp.  $t_3 < 3/7$ .

*Proof.* Since  $t_1 < 1/30$  and  $t_2 > 1/6$  we obtain  $I(e(1/7)) = 0$ . Since  $t_2 < 2/7 < t_3$  by Claim 4 we conclude  $I(e(2/7)) = 1$ . Since  $\text{ind}(c^7) = 1 + 2I(e(1/7)) + 2I(e(2/7)) + 2I(e(3/7)) = 3 + 2I(e(3/7)) \geq 7$  by Claim 6 we get  $I(e(3/7)) = 2$  resp.  $t_3 < 3/7$ .  $\square$

Now we obtain the final contradiction:

**Claim 8.**  $\alpha > 26/35$

*Proof.* Since  $t_2 < 1/5$  (Claim 5) and  $t_3 < 3/7$  (Claim 7) we conclude  $\alpha > 3/5 + 1/7 = 26/35$ .  $\square$

But by Claim 2:  $\alpha = 2/3$ . This contradiction finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

## References

- [BL] V.Bangert & Y.Long: *The existence of two closed geodesics on every Finsler 2-sphere.* [arXiv:0709.1243](#)
- [DL] H.Duan & Y.Long: *Multiple closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler n-spheres.* *J.Diff.Eq.* **233** (2007) 221–240
- [Lo] Y.Long: *Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres.* *J.Eur.Math.Soc.* **8** (2006) 341–353
- [Ra1] H.B.Rademacher: *On the average indices of closed geodesics.* *J.Differential Geom.* **29** (1989) 65–83
- [Ra2] ———: *Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler manifolds.* *Ergod.Th.& Dyn.Syst.* **27** (2007) 957–969
- [Ra3] ———: *The second closed geodesic on Finsler spheres of dimension  $n > 2$ .* (to appear in: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*) [arXiv:math/0608160](#)
- [Zi] W.Ziller: *Geometry of the Katok examples.* *Ergod.Th.& Dyn.Syst.* **3** (1982) 135–157

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT  
D-04081 LEIPZIG, GERMANY  
rademacher@math.uni-leipzig.de  
www.math.uni-leipzig.de/~rademacher