



Banach spaces with the Daugavet property

(joint papers with Vladimir Kadets, Nigel Kalton, Miguel Martín, Javier Merí and others)

Dirk Werner
Freie Universität Berlin

Leipzig, 6.2.2015

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

(Recall $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|: \|x\| \leq 1\}$.)

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

(Recall $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|: \|x\| \leq 1\}$.)

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

(Recall $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|: \|x\| \leq 1\}$.)

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

Examples

$C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$, $L_\infty[0, 1]$, $A(\mathbb{D})$, H^∞ , $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex), type II von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

(Recall $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|: \|x\| \leq 1\}$.)

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

Examples

$C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$, $L_\infty[0, 1]$, $A(\mathbb{D})$, H^∞ , $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex), type II von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

More generally: $C(K)$ for a compact Hausdorff space K without isolated points; $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_\infty(\mu)$ for a non-atomic measure μ .

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

(Recall $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|: \|x\| \leq 1\}$.)

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

Examples

$C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$, $L_\infty[0, 1]$, $A(\mathbb{D})$, H^∞ , $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex), type II von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

More generally: $C(K)$ for a compact Hausdorff space K without isolated points; $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_\infty(\mu)$ for a non-atomic measure μ .

Counterexamples

c_0 , ℓ_1 , ℓ_∞ , $L_p(\mu)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact and not convex), type I von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

The Daugavet property

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- X has the Daugavet property.

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- X has the Daugavet property.
- For all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and all slices S of the unit ball B_X there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- X has the Daugavet property.
- For all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and all slices S of the unit ball B_X there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

- For all $\|x_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the convex hull of $\{z \in B_X: \|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon\}$ is dense in B_X .



Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Weak compactness

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2.

Weak compactness

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2. In particular, X is not reflexive.

Weak compactness

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2. In particular, X is not reflexive.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all strong Radon-Nikodym operators T .

T is a strong Radon-Nikodym operator if the closure of $T(B_X)$ has the Radon-Nikodym property.



Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .



Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Example

In $C[0, 2\pi]$, the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2^n t)$ span a copy of ℓ_1 .

ℓ_1 -subspaces

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Example

In $C[0, 2\pi]$, the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2^n t)$ span a copy of ℓ_1 .

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all ℓ_1 -singular operators T .

T is called ℓ_1 -singular if *no* restriction of T to any copy of ℓ_1 is an (into-) isomorphism, i.e., bounded below.

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$. Neither $C[0, 1]$ nor $L_1[0, 1]$ have an unconditional basis.

Theorem

A separable Banach space with the Daugavet property fails to have an unconditional basis.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$. Neither $C[0, 1]$ nor $L_1[0, 1]$ have an unconditional basis.

Theorem

A separable Banach space with the Daugavet property fails to have an unconditional basis.

Even more, a separable Banach space with the Daugavet property does not even embed into a space with an unconditional basis.

Rich subspaces

Theorem (here used as a Definition)

Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. A closed subspace Y is called **rich** if every closed subspace between Y and X has the Daugavet property.

Rich subspaces

Theorem (here used as a Definition)

Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. A closed subspace Y is called **rich** if every closed subspace between Y and X has the Daugavet property.

Examples

- Unital algebras represented on their Shilov boundary are rich in $C(K)$.
- For $Y \subset L_1[0, 1]$ put $C_Y =$ the L_0 -closure of B_Y in L_1 .

Rich subspaces

Theorem (here used as a Definition)

Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. A closed subspace Y is called **rich** if every closed subspace between Y and X has the Daugavet property.

Examples

- Unital algebras represented on their Shilov boundary are rich in $C(K)$.
- For $Y \subset L_1[0, 1]$ put $C_Y =$ the L_0 -closure of B_Y in L_1 .
Then Y is rich iff $\frac{1}{2}B_{L_1} \subset C_Z$ for every 1-codimensional $Z \subset Y$.

Rich subspaces

Theorem (here used as a Definition)

Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. A closed subspace Y is called **rich** if every closed subspace between Y and X has the Daugavet property.

Examples

- Unital algebras represented on their Shilov boundary are rich in $C(K)$.
- For $Y \subset L_1[0, 1]$ put $C_Y =$ the L_0 -closure of B_Y in L_1 .
Then Y is rich iff $\frac{1}{2}B_{L_1} \subset C_Z$ for every 1-codimensional $Z \subset Y$.
On the other hand, if $rB_{L_1} \subset C_Y$ for some $r > \frac{1}{2}$, then $Y = L_1$.
- If X has the Daugavet property and X/Y is reflexive or does not contain a copy of ℓ_1 (e.g., $(X/Y)^*$ is separable), then Y is rich.

Rich subspaces

Theorem (here used as a Definition)

Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. A closed subspace Y is called **rich** if every closed subspace between Y and X has the Daugavet property.

Examples

- Unital algebras represented on their Shilov boundary are rich in $C(K)$.
- For $Y \subset L_1[0, 1]$ put $C_Y =$ the L_0 -closure of B_Y in L_1 .
Then Y is rich iff $\frac{1}{2}B_{L_1} \subset C_Z$ for every 1-codimensional $Z \subset Y$.
On the other hand, if $rB_{L_1} \subset C_Y$ for some $r > \frac{1}{2}$, then $Y = L_1$.
- If X has the Daugavet property and X/Y is reflexive or does not contain a copy of ℓ_1 (e.g., $(X/Y)^*$ is separable), then Y is rich.

Theorem (Kadets, Popov)

If a separable Banach space contains a complemented copy of $C[0, 1]$, then it is isomorphic to a rich subspace of $C[0, 1]$ and can hence be renormed to have the Daugavet property.

The Daugavet equation reloaded

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all weakly compact operators $T: X \rightarrow X$; in fact this is so for all “strong Radon-Nikodym operators” (i.e., $\overline{T(B_X)}$ has the RNP).

The Daugavet equation reloaded

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all weakly compact operators $T: X \rightarrow X$; in fact this is so for all “strong Radon-Nikodym operators” (i.e., $\overline{T(B_X)}$ has the RNP).

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all ℓ_1 -singular operators $T: X \rightarrow X$.

The Daugavet equation reloaded

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all weakly compact operators $T: X \rightarrow X$; in fact this is so for all “strong Radon-Nikodym operators” (i.e., $\overline{T(B_X)}$ has the RNP).

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all ℓ_1 -singular operators $T: X \rightarrow X$.

Common roof:

- narrow operators;
- SCD operators.



Definition (Avilés, Kadets, Martín, Merí, Shepelska 2010)

A bounded subset A of a Banach space is called **slicely countably determined** if there is a sequence of slices S_n of A with the following property: If $B \subset A$ intersects all the S_n , then $A \subset \overline{\text{conv } B}$.

Note: SCD \Rightarrow separable

SCD sets

Definition (Avilés, Kadets, Martín, Merí, Shepelska 2010)

A bounded subset A of a Banach space is called **slicely countably determined** if there is a sequence of slices S_n of A with the following property: If $B \subset A$ intersects all the S_n , then $A \subset \overline{\text{conv } B}$.

Note: SCD \Rightarrow separable

Examples of SCD-sets

Separable relatively weakly compact sets,
separable RNP-sets,

SCD sets

Definition (Avilés, Kadets, Martín, Merí, Shepelska 2010)

A bounded subset A of a Banach space is called **slicely countably determined** if there is a sequence of slices S_n of A with the following property: If $B \subset A$ intersects all the S_n , then $A \subset \overline{\text{conv}} B$.

Note: SCD \Rightarrow separable

Examples of SCD-sets

Separable relatively weakly compact sets,
separable RNP-sets,
separable sets without ℓ_1 -sequences,
 B_X if X has a 1-unconditional basis.

SCD sets

Definition (Avilés, Kadets, Martín, Merí, Shepelska 2010)

A bounded subset A of a Banach space is called **slicely countably determined** if there is a sequence of slices S_n of A with the following property: If $B \subset A$ intersects all the S_n , then $A \subset \overline{\text{conv}} B$.

Note: SCD \Rightarrow separable

Examples of SCD-sets

Separable relatively weakly compact sets,
separable RNP-sets,
separable sets without ℓ_1 -sequences,
 B_X if X has a 1-unconditional basis.

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is such that $T(B_X)$ is an SCD-set, then

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

Possible generalisations

Question

$\|G + T\| = \|G\| + \|T\|$ for possibly nonlinear maps $G, T: X \rightarrow Y$?

Question

$\|G + T\| = \|G\| + \|T\|$ for possibly nonlinear maps $G, T: X \rightarrow Y$?

Which ones? For which norm?

Possible generalisations

Question

$\|G + T\| = \|G\| + \|T\|$ for possibly nonlinear maps $G, T: X \rightarrow Y$?

Which ones? For which norm?

In the linear case, G “Daugavet centre”; characterised by V. Kadets and T. Bosenko.

Note that a continuous linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is

- a bounded map on the closed unit ball, and the norm is the sup norm;
- a Lipschitz map, and the norm is the Lipschitz norm.

Lipschitz maps

$\text{Lip}(X)$ stands for the Banach space of all Lipschitz maps from X to X that map 0 to 0 , endowed with the Lipschitz norm, i.e.,

$$\|T\|_{\text{Lip}} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx - Ty\|}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\}.$$

Lipschitz maps

$\text{Lip}(X)$ stands for the Banach space of all Lipschitz maps from X to X that map 0 to 0 , endowed with the Lipschitz norm, i.e.,

$$\|T\|_{\text{Lip}} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx - Ty\|}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\}.$$

Question

If X has the Daugavet property, when does $\|\text{Id} + T\|_{\text{Lip}} = 1 + \|T\|_{\text{Lip}}$ hold?

Lipschitz maps

$\text{Lip}(X)$ stands for the Banach space of all Lipschitz maps from X to X that map 0 to 0 , endowed with the Lipschitz norm, i.e.,

$$\|T\|_{\text{Lip}} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx - Ty\|}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\}.$$

Question

If X has the Daugavet property, when does $\|\text{Id} + T\|_{\text{Lip}} = 1 + \|T\|_{\text{Lip}}$ hold?

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property and $T \in \text{Lip}(X)$ is such that

$$\left\{ \frac{\|Tx - Ty\|}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\}$$

is an SCD-set (e.g., relatively weakly compact), then

$$\|\text{Id} + T\|_{\text{Lip}} = 1 + \|T\|_{\text{Lip}}.$$

Elements of the proof: Lipschitz slices

Elements of the proof: Lipschitz slices

Introduce **Lipschitz slices** for Lipschitz functionals $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \left\{ \frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|} : \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\|x - y\|} > (1 - \varepsilon)\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \right\}$$

Elements of the proof: Lipschitz slices

Introduce **Lipschitz slices** for Lipschitz functionals $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \left\{ \frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|} : \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\|x - y\|} > (1 - \varepsilon)\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \right\}$$

Example: $\Sigma(\|\cdot\|, \varepsilon) = S_X$

Elements of the proof: Lipschitz slices

Introduce **Lipschitz slices** for Lipschitz functionals $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\|x-y\|} : \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{\|x-y\|} > (1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \right\}$$

Example: $\Sigma(\|\cdot\|, \varepsilon) = S_X$

Key lemma

If $A \subset S_X$ and $A \cap \Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$, then $\overline{\text{conv}}(A) \cap \Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$.

Elements of the proof: Lipschitz slices

Introduce **Lipschitz slices** for Lipschitz functionals $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\|x-y\|} : \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{\|x-y\|} > (1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \right\}$$

Example: $\Sigma(\|\cdot\|, \varepsilon) = S_X$

Key lemma

If $A \subset S_X$ and $A \cap \Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$, then $\overline{\text{conv}}(A) \cap \Sigma(f, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and all Lip-slices Σ of the unit sphere S_X there exists some $z \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\|x_0 - z\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} .

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext} B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ is weak* open and dense in $K(X^*)$. Consequently, $\bigcap_n D(\Sigma_n, \varepsilon_n)$ is always dense and hence norming.

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ is weak* open and dense in $K(X^*)$. Consequently, $\bigcap_n D(\Sigma_n, \varepsilon_n)$ is always dense and hence norming.

Now start from a sequence of determining slices S_n of

$$\left\{ \frac{Tx - Ty}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\};$$

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ is weak* open and dense in $K(X^*)$. Consequently, $\bigcap_n D(\Sigma_n, \varepsilon_n)$ is always dense and hence norming.

Now start from a sequence of determining slices S_n of

$$\left\{ \frac{Tx - Ty}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\};$$

associate Lip-slices Σ_n ;

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ is weak* open and dense in $K(X^*)$. Consequently, $\bigcap_n D(\Sigma_n, \varepsilon_n)$ is always dense and hence norming.

Now start from a sequence of determining slices S_n of

$$\left\{ \frac{Tx - Ty}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\};$$

associate Lip-slices Σ_n ; apply the previous lemma;

Elements of the proof (cont'd)

Let $K(X^*)$ be the weak* closure of $\text{ext}B_{X^*}$ intersected with S_{X^*} . (This is a Baire space!)

Let, for a Lip-slice Σ , $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all those $x^* \in K(X^*)$ such that Σ intersects the slice $S(S_X, \text{Re } x^*, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma

If X has the Daugavet property, then $D(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$ is weak* open and dense in $K(X^*)$. Consequently, $\bigcap_n D(\Sigma_n, \varepsilon_n)$ is always dense and hence norming.

Now start from a sequence of determining slices S_n of

$$\left\{ \frac{Tx - Ty}{\|x - y\|} : x \neq y \right\};$$

associate Lip-slices Σ_n ; apply the previous lemma; and ...

The numerical range of an operator

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).
- X Banach space: in general, $V(T)$ is not convex.

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).
- X Banach space: in general, $V(T)$ is not convex.
- $\overline{V(T)}$ contains the convex hull of the spectrum of T (Wintner 1929; Crabb 1969),

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).
- X Banach space: in general, $V(T)$ is not convex.
- $\overline{V(T)}$ contains the convex hull of the spectrum of T (Wintner 1929; Crabb 1969), with equality for normal operators on a Hilbert space (Stone 1931, Berberian 1964).

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$,

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Banach space is $\geq 1/e$;

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Banach space is $\geq 1/e$;
 $n(C(K)) = 1$, $n(L^1(\mu)) = 1$, $n(A(\mathbb{D})) = 1$.

The duality problem for the numerical index



The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\sup V(T) = \|T\| \Leftrightarrow \|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) \text{ ???} \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 \text{ ???}$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$n(X) = 1$ if and only if $\max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all $T: X \rightarrow X$.

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) \text{ ???} \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 \text{ ???}$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$n(X) = 1$ if and only if $\max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all $T: X \rightarrow X$.

Note:

Daugavet property $\not\Rightarrow n(X) = 1$ (e.g. $X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$);

$n(X) = 1 \not\Rightarrow$ Daugavet property (e.g. $X = c_0$).

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{conv}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{conv}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$;

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{conv}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$; rich subspaces of $C(K)$.

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{conv}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$; rich subspaces of $C(K)$.

Proposition

Every lush space has numerical index 1.

Theorem

If X is lush, then the “Lipschitz numerical index” is 1, i.e.,

$$\max_{\pm} \|\text{Id} \pm T\|_{\text{Lip}} = 1 + \|T\|_{\text{Lip}}$$

for all Lipschitz maps $T: X \rightarrow X$.

The solution of the duality problem

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.
- The unit ball of this two-dimensional space is a regular hexagon, hence $n(X/Y) = 1/2$ and $n(Y^\perp) = 1/2$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.
- The unit ball of this two-dimensional space is a regular hexagon, hence $n(X/Y) = 1/2$ and $n(Y^\perp) = 1/2$.

Theorem

There is a real Banach space with $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) = 0$.

“I have noticed,” said Mr. K., “that we put many people off our teachings because we have an answer to everything. Could we not, in the interests of propaganda, draw up a list of the questions that appear to us completely unsolved?”

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

"I have noticed," said Mr. K., "that we put many people off our teachings because we have an answer to everything. Could we not, in the interests of propaganda, draw up a list of the questions that appear to us completely unsolved?"

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

Problem

Does there exist a Banach space X such that X^{**} has the Daugavet property?

"I have noticed," said Mr. K., "that we put many people off our teachings because we have an answer to everything. Could we not, in the interests of propaganda, draw up a list of the questions that appear to us completely unsolved?"

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

Problem

Does there exist a Banach space X such that X^{**} has the Daugavet property?

All contributions are welcome!